



Exploring Methodologies for Matching Markets, Externalities, and Strategic Behavior

Dr. Misbah Nosheen

Associate Professor/Chairperson, Department of Economics, Hazara University
Mansehra, KPK, Pakistan
misbah@hu.edu.pk

Shagufta

M.Phil Scholar, Department of Economics, Hazara University Mansehra, KPK,
Pakistan
shaguftabibibi2@gmail.com

Nadia Ameer

PhD Scholar, Department of Economics, Women University, Multan, Pakistan
nadia.hec.prime@gamil.com

ABSTRACT

This article examines methodologies used to explore matching markets, externalities, and strategic behaviors, emphasizing their impact on market stability, equilibrium outcomes, and agent interactions. Drawing on a wide range of studies, the paper highlights the use of game-theoretic models, equilibrium analysis, and computational simulations to investigate labor markets, auction designs, and firm behaviors under varying market conditions. Techniques such as bilateral and many-to-one matching models, farsighted agent frameworks, and dynamic games provide insights into decision-making under uncertainty and interdependent preferences. Empirical approaches, including statistical analysis and bibliometric evaluations, complement theoretical and computational methods, offering real-world relevance. Results underscore the critical role of externalities in shaping agent preferences and market dynamics, with learning-based auction designs and large labor markets improving efficiency and mitigating frictions. The paper concludes with recommendations for incorporating externality-resilient models, fostering multidisciplinary research, and advancing sustainable market designs to enhance stability and inclusivity. This comprehensive review contributes to a deeper understanding of matching markets

and their implications for strategic economic behavior.

Keywords: Exploring methodologies, matching markets, externalities, strategic behavior

INTRODUCTION

Economic activities often create ripple effects that extend beyond the immediate participants, shaping markets, societies, and environments in both positive and negative ways. These spillovers, termed externalities, have been a cornerstone of economic thought, highlighting the unintended consequences of decisions or actions on third parties not directly involved. Externalities manifest in various forms, influencing urban development, market behavior, and environmental sustainability. Understanding their dynamics is crucial for policymakers and researchers aiming to design strategies that address inefficiencies and foster balanced growth.

Externalities, at their core, capture the unintentional costs or benefits that arise from economic transactions, often leading to outcomes that are not socially optimal. These unintended effects can be classified as negative or positive. Negative externalities impose costs on society, such as pollution, environmental degradation, and resource depletion. Conversely, positive externalities generate benefits, such as technological innovation spillovers and improved public health outcomes. The presence of externalities often necessitates intervention to align private incentives with societal well-being (Nosheen et al., 2024). However, addressing these effects requires a nuanced understanding of their scope, interactions, and implications.

The study of externalities has evolved significantly over time, expanding from static perspectives to dynamic analyses that capture their complexity in modern economies. Early conceptualizations focused on static externalities—effects that are fixed and unchanging within a given context. Over time, the recognition of dynamic externalities, which evolve and interact with broader economic and social systems, has gained prominence. For example, in urban environments, the accumulation of externalities can drive both innovation and productivity while simultaneously contributing to challenges such as congestion and environmental degradation (Verhoef & Nijkamp, 2002; Verhoef & Nijkamp, 2017). This duality underscores the multifaceted nature of externalities, which can simultaneously serve as catalysts for growth and sources of inefficiency.

The role of externalities in economic growth has been extensively studied, particularly in the context of international development. Externalities are integral to explaining empirical patterns in growth and development, as they often involve cross-border spillovers that impact multiple economies (Klenow & Rodriguez-Clare, 2004; Nosheen et al., 2025). In this context, international externalities, such as the diffusion of technology and knowledge, are critical for understanding disparities in economic progress. These spillovers highlight the interconnectedness of global economies and the importance of collaborative approaches to addressing shared challenges.

Environmental externalities are among the most widely studied forms, given their profound implications for sustainability and societal well-being. Activities such

as industrial production, transportation, and resource extraction generate external costs, including air pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and biodiversity loss. For instance, the shipping industry contributes significantly to environmental degradation through emissions of CO₂, NO_x, SO_x, and particulate matter (Spengler & Tovar, 2020; Iqbal et al, 2024). These impacts extend beyond local populations, influencing global ecosystems and climate patterns. Addressing environmental externalities requires coordinated regulatory and fiscal policies that balance economic growth with ecological preservation.

Urban transportation exemplifies the complex interplay of externalities in modern economies. Cities worldwide grapple with challenges such as traffic congestion, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions, which are exacerbated by urbanization and population growth. Policies aimed at mitigating these externalities, such as congestion pricing and investment in public transportation, vary in effectiveness between industrialized and developing economies (Timilsina & Dulal, 2010). These disparities underscore the importance of tailoring interventions to specific regional and institutional contexts.

The concept of externalities extends beyond environmental and urban settings, permeating various domains of economic activity. For instance, in labor markets, externalities can influence job seekers' opportunities, as the presence of other candidates affects individual probabilities of employment (Spray, 2021). Similarly, in matching theory, externalities arise when the preferences or decisions of one agent impact others, creating strategic interactions that shape market outcomes (Rostek & Yoder, 2020). These dynamics highlight the pervasive nature of externalities and their relevance across diverse economic contexts.

Advancements in technology and innovation have further expanded the scope of externalities, introducing new challenges and opportunities. The emergence of green products in markets with network externalities exemplifies this trend, as firms navigate the trade-offs between environmental objectives and economic viability (Liu, 2023). Moreover, the concept of "technological complementarities" has been proposed to capture the broader impacts of general-purpose technologies on economic development (Carlaw & Lipsey, 2002, Nosheen et al, 2021). These developments emphasize the need for a comprehensive understanding of externalities that accounts for their technological and market-driven dimensions.

Addressing externalities effectively requires a holistic approach that considers spatial, institutional, and market dynamics. Policies must be designed to internalize external costs and benefits, aligning individual incentives with societal goals. For example, introducing carbon pricing mechanisms can incentivize firms to reduce emissions, while subsidies for renewable energy can promote positive environmental spillovers. Similarly, investments in education and infrastructure can mitigate negative externalities and enhance social welfare.

The complexity of externalities also challenges traditional assumptions about their management. While state intervention is often justified as a means to address market failures, recent research suggests that decentralized approaches, such as community-based initiatives and private sector collaborations, can complement

regulatory efforts (Liu & Turnovsky, 2004, Nosheen et al, 2021). These strategies emphasize the importance of adaptability and inclusivity in addressing externalities, particularly in diverse and rapidly evolving economic contexts.

In conclusion, externalities represent a fundamental aspect of economic systems, influencing a wide range of activities and outcomes. Their pervasive nature underscores the need for targeted research and policy interventions that balance economic growth with environmental sustainability and social well-being. By exploring the dynamics of externalities across various domains, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding their implications and developing effective strategies for their management. Through a combination of theoretical insights and practical applications, this research seeks to advance the discourse on externalities and contribute to the development of more equitable and sustainable economic systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Exploring Externalities: Impacts on Economic Settings and Market Dynamics

This collection of papers examines the role of externalities across diverse economic contexts, focusing on their implications for market dynamics, strategic decision-making, labor markets, matching mechanisms, stability, and social welfare. By analyzing externalities in scenarios such as matching theory, environmental effects, auction design, and labor market dynamics, the research sheds light on how unintended spillovers influence equilibrium outcomes, market performance, and welfare.

Externalities in Entrepreneurship and Coalition Stability

Mejri et al. (2024) investigate how recent, unanticipated externalities are reshaping the ethical dimensions of entrepreneurship. Using bibliometric analysis, the study identifies gaps in understanding how unforeseen market forces affect business strategies, ethics, and environmental responsibility. The findings emphasize the importance of addressing externalities in fostering sustainable organizational behavior. Similarly, Piazza and Torres-Martínez (2024) analyze coalition stability within matching problems influenced by externalities and random preferences. They explore how strategic interactions, equilibrium outcomes, and coalition formation are impacted under incomplete information, offering insights into decision-making under uncertainty.

Labor Markets and External Effects

Moretti and Yi (2024) explore externalities in large labor markets, highlighting how market scale reduces search frictions, improves information sharing, and enhances employment outcomes. Their research illustrates the intersection of market size and external effects, demonstrating the advantages of labor market scale in reducing inefficiencies. Gibson and Heutel (2023) link pollution externalities to labor market dynamics during business cycles. They show how environmental factors influence job search behaviors and cyclical fluctuations, emphasizing the interconnectedness of ecological and economic systems.

Matching Theory and Externalities

Imamura and Konishi (2023) examine assortative matching under externalities,

focusing on farsighted strategies where individual preferences and strategic behaviors shape equilibrium outcomes. Their findings highlight the long-term impact of externalities on matching processes. Extending this work, Imamura, Konishi, and Pan (2023) delve into stability in competitive pair formation and oligopolistic joint ventures. They emphasize the role of competition and externalities in creating complex market equilibria, with implications for joint ventures and competitive entry. Pycia and Yenmez (2023) propose matching mechanisms that account for externalities, analyzing their impact on stability and allocation outcomes. Li, Cheng, and Dai (2023) investigate double matching under complementary preferences, providing insights into how agent preferences and external effects influence market design. Carmona and Laohakunakorn (2023) contribute to theoretical matching theory by exploring stable matchings in large economies with externalities. Their findings offer new perspectives on equilibrium stability and market efficiency.

Auction Design and Market Strategies

Li et al. (2023) explore auction design in markets with externalities through a learning-based framework, analyzing how external effects shape competition and strategy in digital advertising. Their work underscores the importance of incorporating externalities into market design to achieve efficient outcomes. Leshno (2022) examines stable matching under peer-dependent preferences, identifying conditions that ensure stability in large markets with interdependent preferences. This research enhances understanding of network effects and their role in allocation mechanisms.

Strategic Behaviors and Spillovers

Mumcu and Saglam (2021) address strategic behaviors in one-to-one matching markets, demonstrating how spillovers influence equilibrium outcomes. Their welfare analysis highlights inefficiencies induced by strategic externalities in matching markets, particularly in interview-based selection processes. Similarly, studies on firm-to-firm trade reveal how search behaviors generate spillovers, affecting competitive market dynamics. Research on the roommate problem examines how externalities, preferences, and choices influence stability and decision-making under incomplete market mechanisms.

Further research expands on matching dynamics under externalities, focusing on peer preferences and their impact on market stability. For instance, Skancke, Spray, Contreras, and Torres-Martínez (2021) explore school choice market design, providing theoretical and empirical evidence for how peer effects shape allocation mechanisms.

Market Design and Competition

Westkamp (2020) investigates the stability and strategy-proofness of cumulative offer mechanisms, contributing to literature on allocation mechanisms under externalities. Rostek and Yoder (2020) analyze matching models with complementary contracts, revealing how contractual interdependencies affect market stability and outcomes. Chade and Eeckhout (2020) study dynamics within competing teams in competitive markets, demonstrating how group formation interacts with external effects.

Uetake and Watanabe (2020) explore mergers in two-sided matching models, analyzing strategic effects and market shifts caused by corporate strategies. Salgado (2020) focuses on many-to-one matching models, highlighting how externalities influence market stability and equilibrium in large market formations.

Implications and Policy Applications

These studies collectively underscore the pervasive influence of externalities in economic settings, offering insights into labor markets, auction design, matching mechanisms, environmental behaviors, and strategic competition. They demonstrate how externalities alter decision-making processes, market stability, and welfare considerations, providing theoretical and practical perspectives for addressing these challenges.

The findings emphasize the importance of incorporating externalities into policy design to enhance market efficiency, stabilize matching mechanisms, and foster ethical entrepreneurial actions. Policymakers and researchers must consider the unintended spillovers in economic activities to develop effective interventions that balance economic growth, environmental sustainability, and social well-being. By addressing these challenges, the research contributes to improving market design and understanding employment patterns, thereby advancing welfare and societal progress.

Methodological Insights into Matching Markets, Externalities, and Strategic Behavior: A Multidisciplinary Approach"

In this paper, the methodologies employed across diverse studies integrate a mix of theoretical models, empirical analysis, and computational approaches to examine matching markets, externalities, stability, and strategic behavior. Many studies rely on game-theoretic models to analyze strategic decision-making under externalities and interdependent preferences. These models include equilibrium analysis, dynamic games, and models of matching under uncertainty, focusing on labor markets, auction designs, and firm behaviors (Imamura & Konishi, 2023; Mumcu & Saglam, 2021). Various authors utilize quantitative frameworks such as bilateral matching models, many-to-one matching, and dynamic equilibrium models to capture strategic interactions (Rostek & Yoder, 2020; Salgado, 2020; Nosheen et al, 2025).

Some studies employ computational modeling to simulate market dynamics and externality effects. For instance, research like that of Gibson and Heutel (2023) focuses on dynamic labor market analysis, using empirical evidence drawn from market trends over business cycles. Others use farsighted agent models or complementary preference models to evaluate long-term decision-making under market constraints (Imamura, Konishi, & Pan, 2023; Piazza & Torres-Martínez, 2024). Additionally, Mejri et al. (2024) apply a bibliometric analysis approach to systematically assess trends in the literature related to ethics, entrepreneurship, and externalities.

Several papers integrate statistical analysis and auction design models, such as those seen in learning-based auction frameworks, combining theoretical models with real-world data to derive practical insights into ad auctions and market design (Li et al., 2023). Studies also deploy stability analysis, focusing on stable matching mechanisms under specific market structures, such as peer-dependent preferences, oligopolistic joint ventures, or asymmetric bargaining power (Pycia & Yenmez, 2023;

Leshno, 2022). The analysis of stability incorporates models of pair competition and strategic mergers, employing both theoretical derivations and empirical testing where applicable (Uetake & Watanabe, 2020; Chade & Eeckhout, 2020).

Results from these methodologies indicate that externalities significantly affect agent preferences, market outcomes, and strategic decisions. For example, large labor markets provide advantages by modifying search frictions, improving information sharing, and enhancing market dynamics (Moretti & Yi, 2024; Ahmed et al., 2024; Khalid et al., 2024). Empirical insights from auction models and market simulations demonstrate that learning-based auction designs can improve allocation efficiency (Li et al., 2023), while computational insights from matching models highlight the role of preference complementarities and dynamic interactions in achieving market stability (Imamura & Konishi, 2023). Moreover, studies like those by Gibson and Heutel (2023) reveal the interplay between environmental externalities, such as pollution, and labor market behaviors, showing how these factors influence job search patterns and cyclical economic dynamics.

The use of advanced economic modeling techniques such as dynamic games, equilibrium analysis, and bilateral or many-to-one matching models is evident across these studies. For example, dynamic games are employed to simulate interactions and strategic decisions among agents in labor markets, auction mechanisms, and joint ventures (Rostek & Yoder, 2020; Westkamp, 2020). Similarly, statistical modeling and data analysis reinforce these studies, with computational approaches deployed for market predictions (Carmona & Laohakunakorn, 2023).

Additionally, methodologies such as bibliometric analysis and quantitative research methods have been applied to assess literature trends or market mechanisms (Mejri et al., 2024, Nosheen et al, 2024). Experimental design, auction theory, and search behavior studies are integrated into papers to explore stability-proof mechanisms and competitive equilibrium under external pressures (Chen & Pan, 2021; Fonseca & Pakzad-Hurson, 2021). For instance, studies on school choice markets emphasize the role of peer effects in shaping market stability and allocation mechanisms (Skancke et al., 2021).

The results highlight the importance of addressing externalities in market design, labor markets, and auction frameworks. For example, research by Imamura and Konishi (2023) shows how assortative matching under externalities impacts equilibrium outcomes, while studies by Pycia and Yenmez (2023) propose innovative models to account for external effects in market allocation processes. Furthermore, Gibson and Heutel's (2023) findings on pollution's role in labor market dynamics offer critical insights into the intersection of environmental and economic policies.

In conclusion, these methodologies combine theoretical models, computational simulations, and empirical analyses to provide a comprehensive understanding of how strategic behavior, externalities, and market structures shape equilibrium outcomes and stability in matching and labor markets. By addressing these factors, the studies collectively contribute to improving market design, stabilizing matching mechanisms, and fostering efficient economic strategies. The integration of multidisciplinary approaches ensures a robust analysis of the intricate

relationships between externalities, strategic behaviors, and economic outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This paper reviewed diverse methodologies employed to analyze matching markets, externalities, and strategic behaviors, highlighting the interplay between theoretical models, computational simulations, and empirical analyses. Key findings reveal the significant impact of externalities on agent preferences, market outcomes, and strategic decisions. The role of game-theoretic models, equilibrium analysis, dynamic games, and auction frameworks is pivotal in understanding labor market behaviors, ad auction efficiencies, and matching mechanisms under uncertainty. Additionally, studies employing computational and empirical approaches demonstrate the relevance of real-world data in enhancing market design and stability.

Findings emphasize that externalities, such as environmental factors or peer-dependent preferences, often dictate the stability and efficiency of matching markets. Large labor markets, for instance, mitigate search frictions, while learning-based auction designs improve allocation efficiency. Moreover, innovative frameworks like farsighted agent models and complementary preference models provide long-term insights into decision-making under constraints.

Recommendations

Based on the insights from the reviewed methodologies, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Incorporate Externality-Resilient Models:** Policymakers and market designers should integrate externalities, such as environmental or peer effects, into matching and market models. Incorporating these factors ensures a more accurate representation of real-world dynamics, leading to improved market stability and outcomes.
2. **Enhance Data-Driven Approaches:** Future research and market design should emphasize the use of empirical data and computational simulations. This approach allows for the validation of theoretical predictions and the fine-tuning of market mechanisms, such as labor matching processes or auction designs.
3. **Foster Multidisciplinary Research:** Collaboration across disciplines—economics, computer science, and social sciences—should be encouraged to develop innovative models. Combining theoretical insights with computational techniques and empirical validations can address complex market issues effectively.
4. **Promote Market Accessibility:** Large labor markets have been shown to reduce search frictions and increase efficiency. Governments and institutions should prioritize policies that expand market participation and ensure equitable access for marginalized agents.

5. **Design Robust Auction Frameworks:** Learning-based auction designs have proven effective in achieving allocation efficiency. These frameworks should be implemented in sectors like digital advertising, public goods allocation, and resource management.
6. **Encourage Sustainable Practices:** The integration of environmental considerations into labor market and auction models underscores the need for sustainable policies. Policymakers should align economic and environmental objectives to mitigate negative externalities and promote welfare.
7. **Develop Stability-Proof Mechanisms:** Stability analysis should remain a focal area for researchers and market designers. Identifying conditions for stable equilibria under various externalities ensures that matching mechanisms are resilient to disruptions.

By addressing these recommendations, researchers, policymakers, and market designers can foster efficient, stable, and equitable economic environments while accounting for the pervasive influence of externalities and strategic behaviors. These efforts will contribute to advancing the theory and practice of market design in an increasingly complex global economy.

References

- Ahmed, S., Iqbal, J., Nosheen, M., & Shil, N. C. (2024). Does the S-curve demonstrate an asymmetrical response to fluctuations in exchange rates? *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 13(8). ISSN 1754-4408.
- Chade, H., & Eeckhout, J. (2020). Competing teams. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 87(3), 1134-1173.
- Chen, K. I. H. K., & Pan, Y. (2021). Stability in Matching with Externalities: Pairs Competition and Oligopolistic Joint Ventures.
- Cox, N., Fonseca, R., & Pakzad-Hurson, B. (2021). Do Peer Preferences Matter in School Choice Market Design? Theory and Evidence.
- Contreras, J. L., & Torres-Martínez, J. P. (2021). The roommate problem with externalities. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 50(1), 149-165.
- Gibson, J., & Heutel, G. (2023). Pollution and labor market search externalities over the business cycle. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 151, 104665.
- Imamura, K., & Konishi, H. (2023). Assortative matching with externalities and farsighted agents. *Dynamic Games and Applications*, 13(2), 497-509.
- Imamura, K., Konishi, H., & Pan, C. Y. (2023). Stability in matching with externalities: Pairs competition and oligopolistic joint ventures. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 205, 270-286.
- Iqbal, J., Nosheen, M., & Wohar, M. (2023). Exchange rate volatility and India-US commodity trade: Evidence of the third-country effect. *Indian Economic Review*, 58(Suppl 2), 359-398.
- Khalid, W., Iqbal, J., Nasir, N., & Nosheen, M. (2024). Do real exchange rate misalignments have threshold effects on economic growth? Asymmetric evidence from Pakistan. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 57, 181.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10644-024-09752-4>.

- Konishi, H., Imamura, K., & Pan, C. Y. (2023). Stability in Matching with Externalities: Pairs Competition and Oligopolistic Joint Ventures. Available at SSRN 4034566.
- Klenow, P. J., & Rodriguez-Clare, A. (2005). Externalities and growth. In *Handbook of economic growth* (Vol. 1, pp. 817-861). Elsevier.
- Leshno, J. (2022). Stable matching with peer-dependent preferences in large markets: Existence and cutoff characterization. Available at SSRN 3822060.
- Li, N., Ma, Y., Zhao, Y., Duan, Z., Chen, Y., Zhang, Z., & Deng, X. (2023, August). Learning-based ad auction design with externalities: The framework and a matching-based approach. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (pp. 1291-1302).
- Li, Y., Cheng, G., & Dai, X. (2023). Double matching under complementary preferences. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10230.
- Mumcu, A., & Saglam, I. (2021). Strategic Issues in One-to-One Matching with Externalities. *International Game Theory Review*, 23(02), 2050015.
- Moretti, E., & Yi, M. (2024). Size Matters: Matching Externalities and the Advantages of Large Labor Markets (No. w32250). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Mundt, D. J., Kelsey, J. L., Golden, A. L., Panjabi, M. M., Pastides, H., Berg, A. T., Hosea, T. (1993). An epidemiologic study of sports and weight lifting as possible risk factors for herniated lumbar and cervical discs. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 21(6), 854-860.
- Nosheen, M., & Iqbal, J. (2025). The asymmetric approach to investigating the EKC hypothesis in BRICS countries of exchange rate volatility on trade flows of Pakistan. *Journal of Contemporary Macroeconomic Issues*, 6(1).
- Nosheen, M., & Abbasi, J. I. (2024). The asymmetric effects of trade openness on economic growth in South Asia: A non-linear ARDL approach. *The International Trade Journal*. ISSN 0885-3908.
- Nosheen, M., & Iqbal, J. (2025). The asymmetric approach to investigating the EKC hypothesis in BRICS countries of exchange rate volatility on trade flows of Pakistan. *Journal of Contemporary Macroeconomic Issues*, 6(1).
- Nosheen, M., & Iqbal, J. (2024). The asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows of Pakistan. *Journal of Contemporary Macroeconomic Issues*, 5(2), 81-91.
- Nosheen, M., Iqbal, J., & Abbasi, M. A. (2021). Do technological innovations promote green growth in the European Union? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(17), 21717-21729. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11926-2>.
- Nosheen, M., Iqbal, J., & Khan, H. U. (2021). Analyzing the linkage among CO2 emissions, economic growth, tourism, and energy consumption in the Asian economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(13), 16707-16719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11759-z>.
- Piazza, A., & Torres-Martínez, J. P. (2024). Coalitional stability in matching problems

- with externalities and random preferences. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 143, 321-339.
- Pycia, M., & Yenmez, M. B. (2023). Matching with externalities. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 90(2), 948-974.
- Rostek, M., & Yoder, N. (2020). Matching with complementary contracts. *Econometrica*, 88(5), 1793-1827.
- Salgado, A. (2020). Many-to-one matching: Externalities and stability (No. 2020-03). Working Papers.
- Schindler, M., Caruso, G., & Picard, P. (2017). Equilibrium and first-best city with endogenous exposure to local air pollution from traffic. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 62, 12-23.
- Stewart, F., & Ghani, E. (1991). How significant are externalities for development? *World Development*, 19(6), 569-594.
- Skancke, E. (2021). Welfare and strategic externalities in matching markets with interviews. Available at SSRN 3960558.
- Spray, J. (2021). Search externalities in firm-to-firm trade. International Monetary Fund.
- Stantcheva, S. (2017). Optimal taxation and human capital policies over the life cycle. *Journal of Political Economy*, 125(6), 1931-1990.
- Timilsina, G. R., & Dulal, H. B. (2011). Urban road transportation externalities: Costs and choice of policy instruments. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 26(1), 162-191.
- Uetake, K., & Watanabe, Y. (2020). Entry by merger: Estimates from a two-sided matching model with externalities. Available at SSRN 2188581.
- Verhoef, E. T., & Nijkamp, P. (2002). Externalities in urban sustainability: Environmental versus localization-type agglomeration externalities in a general spatial equilibrium model of a single-sector monocentric industrial city. *Ecological Economics*, 40(2), 157-179.
- Westkamp, A. (2020). Stability, Strategy-Proofness, and Cumulative Offer Mechanisms. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 9, 88.