



## A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SINO-US COMPETITION IN THE INDO-PACIFIC REGION

**Dr. Adnan Nawaz \***

Assistant Professor, Department of International Relations, Government College  
University Faisalabad, Pakistan  
[adnannawaz@gcuf.edu.pk](mailto:adnannawaz@gcuf.edu.pk)

**Muhammad Zeeshan**

MPhil Scholar, Department of International Relations, Government College  
University Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Ehsan Ul Haq**

MPhil, International Development Studies, Department of Social Sciences, Iqra  
University Islamabad Campus, Islamabad, Pakistan  
[malikeuhaq@yahoo.com](mailto:malikeuhaq@yahoo.com)

**\*Corresponding Author**

---

### ABSTRACT

The Sino-U.S. competition in the Indo-Pacific region (IOR) is the most dynamic of contemporary global politics. This research article aims to examine the strategic, economic, and military dynamics of this rivalry as each competition between China and the United States determines the provision of security, economic cooperation, and political alliances in this region. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and its military territorial expansion and expansion in the South China Sea, all challenge the U.S.-led world order. In the meantime, the U.S. is attempting to offset China's political influence with partnerships with regional powers like Japan, Australia, and India; pushing for the Quad and Indo-Pacific Economic Framework (IPEF). Moreover, the analysis proves how the rivalry exercises pressure on smaller states in Southeast Asia, whose very existence knows no contours but must fight the battle of fending off pressures on their sovereignty and economic interests while enjoying it. This study seeks to explore the various dimensions of the Sino-U.S. competition, to chart insights into the possible long-term consequences of the competition for the Indo-Pacific region, with an emphasis on the implications for global power dynamics, economic governance, and security architecture. This research shows how this region

---

---

will shape the 21st-century geopolitical landscape.

**Keywords:** Indo-Pacific region (IOR), Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), Quad, Indo-Pacific Economic Framework (IPEF)

---

## INTRODUCTION

The history of Sino-US relations remains quite dynamic as there are still times of friendly ties and times of hostility, although the current status of these nations' relations can still be attributed to some monumental events. The history of the interactions between the two states began right from armed battles to more strategic, political, and diplomatic between them has been important to identify the route of relations between the two countries in the fold of the political economy of Southeast Asia (Barbara, 2020). Because this area is important to both the world economy and military, it has effectively become the main area where they both vie for dominance, as both powers want to achieve influence. In this regard, regional states are pivotal in making many strategic calculations and orientations. Additionally, although these countries are mostly considered as 'small' in contrast to the Giants in which they find themselves sandwiched between; they are very active agents. They use diplomacy working strategies like bandwagon, balancing, and hedging to ensure sovereignty and exercise national interests (Sherwood, 2016). Regional integration is not easy, especially in an organization such as the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), which, like it or not, has some problems of unity and the struggle for relevance within the two influential world powers, China and the United States.

Furthermore, the military aspect of Sino-US competition is also noted further in Chinese and American reinforcement of capacities and assets in the area. Areas like the South China Sea (SCS) and Taiwan Strait are rather critical, as they are the hotbeds of potential conflict (Vu, 2023). The actions and the formation of coalitions by regional states in response to such trends are important in determining the new order in the region.

This research article analyzed how Sino-American competition reshapes the great power rivalry in the IOR. This conflict is rooted in previous losses, and, more so, the shift of power in commerce and the might of armies is not only restricted to the latter but indeed affects the powers universally. These interactions and the feedback of regional actors represent one of the significant aspects of modern international relations. Understanding them is indispensable for interpreting and constructing such relations as the key characteristics of states' interactions in the 21st century.

## **Historical Evolution of Sino-U.S. Relations**

The history of Sino-US relations was rather based on trade and discovery; American merchants aimed at creating trade relations with the Qing Empire of China at the end of the 18th and the beginning of the 19th centuries. This, however, paved the way for the opium trade that led to the opium wars and signed treaties which Magna Carta gave more access to the Western world, including America (Kucukdegirmenci, 2021). These years of the 'unequal treaties' led to a diplomatically cautious Chinese relationship with the foreign powers as the latter was looked at with suspicion and as a predatory force.

### **Sino-US Relations in the 20th Century**

Cold War relations were extended by the Korean War, whereby the Chinese people and Americans fought on different sides (China, 2018). However, the relations deteriorated to the worst in the 1950s and 1960s with the commencement of the 1970s. Again, the two countries started improving relations when Nixon visited China in 1972, and the two countries officially recognized each other in 1979.

### **Sino-US Relations in the 21st Century**

Global relations began to show cooperation and competition from different nations at the dawn of the early 21<sup>st</sup> century. The world could be described as a mixed bag as global communities intermingled differently. The economic development and the consequent military modernization of China at the end of the 1990s slowly altered the dynamics of the Asia-Pacific theatre. Since the postwar period, successive American presidents have courted China politically and economically but have tried to contain it simultaneously. Some examples of the changes in strategies developed by the Obama administration followed by Trump are the "pivot to Asia" and the trade war against China (Hu, 2020).

The current phase of Sino-U.S. relations is characterized as 'competitive cooperation'. Strategic rivalry surrounding a hybrid conflict can be identified, the main fields of competition being commerce, technology, armed presence, and control of the rules of global politics. Southeast Asia has, however, risen to be among the most strategic regions where this competition is most likely to happen since it is strategically located, economically significant, and politically diversified. The countries of the region are as diverse in history and specific strategic interests and are managing these maps in some ways and to some degree congruent with China and the United States (Shullman et al., 2022). Analyzing these trends, one has to be aware of the fact that great power competition is a repeated phenomenon as well as the main motifs of Soviet-Chinese relations – trust and suspicion, cooperation and rivalry – remain timeless. Such an understanding shall help in dividing the existing trends in the region and the broader IPR.

### **The New Dynamics of Balance between China and the USA**

China and America are two of the major players that define the source of power in the IPR, China has revisionist power both economically and militarily threatening the monopoly that the US has held for a long time. The economic rise of China in the last few decades can without any doubt be regarded as one of the most rapid and striking developments in the world. An agrarian society based on a central planning

economic model, China has gradually and steadily positioned itself as the second largest economy, a prominent manufacturing hub, and a global trader. This economic growth has thus also come with peculiar military development whereby the capability of China began to advance both in land, sea, air, and cyber.

The situation implies that the United States, though remaining the core of the Western globalization model and the world's largest economy and military, is to face certain difficulties in reproducing its dominance. Starting with the financial crisis of 2008, political division and the new world economy order priorities have all affected the projection of American power in the world (Kleinfeld, 2023). Furthermore, the trends toward counterterrorism and Middle Eastern conflicts in the last twenty years have been aimed at the Asia-Pacific area, although not always effectively. In South East Asia, these changes are noticeable with so much of concern due to the changes in power. It occupies a very advantageous geographical position concerning maritime communication, contains large reserves of non-renewable resources, and is densely inhabited with constantly increasing purchasing power. China's BRI and the USA's Indo-Pacific strategy are the two mega connectivity initiatives by these powers to expand their influence in the region (Ba, 2023). This is placed to play a major role as the emerging platform for the renewal of globalization; the BRI, with a special emphasis on infrastructure connectivity, has advanced seriously in South-East Asian countries, mostly in parallel with Chinese funds and credits. The United States, for instance, charges the freedom of navigation, security affiliations, and economic collaborations to contain China's expanding influence.

Another problem related to the definition of power is the difficulties in its conceptualization and measurement which add more difficulties to the analysis. Therefore, it does not only encompass characteristics of economic large-scale or military muscles; it also includes characteristics of soft power, diplomatic power, and norm-setting power. These areas are also strengths and weaknesses of both China and the United States. For example, over the past few years, China has improved its presence and competitiveness in technology and culture; however, the practice of governance and its acknowledgment globally are issued. America is a country with lots of soft power based on its security allies and democratic values, but facing challenges related to its dedication to the world, governance, and internal stability. Furthermore, The ASEAN states are currently in a situation of trying to manage the confrontation between China and the United States. The options these states make depend on bilateral relations, economic affiliations, security interests, and internal politics.

### **Bandwagoning and Balancing**

This broad concept encompasses various forms of strategic behaviors, most commonly described as either bandwagoning or balancing. This is where a state decides to associate with a more powerful state, generally to get protection or resources. Regarding the Sino-U.S. competition, bandwagoning might mean joining China since it is a neighbor and is on the rise in terms of economic might or joining the United States due to its military might and presence in the region (Roy, 2023). On the other hand, balancing entails an attempt to reduce the capability of a dominant

power by building counter-alliances with other states or powers. This can be observed observing the foreign policy goals of some Southeast Asian states that want to preserve their independence and, simultaneously, avoid the emergence of a single large hegemon in the region. For instance, some countries have security collaborations with the United States but at the same time, expand their business relations with China (Wang & Tan, 2021).

### **Maintaining a Balance**

The Vietnam and the Philippines which are American allies, most of the Southeast Asian states employ the policy of hedging; flexibility and diversification are key in their foreign policies. This strategy enables them to reap profits from both China and the US without being caught in the Cold War or conflict (Liu, 2024). For example, Singapore and Vietnam which have recently increased military cooperation with the United States are also economically cooperating with China. The drivers of these strategic decisions include distance from China, the level of trade, political history, and internal political circumstances. For instance, Malaysia and Indonesia host large numbers of ethnic Chinese, whose identity and experience shape the social and political arenas in which their countries' foreign policies are situated. At the same time, Vietnam and the Philippines, which have conflicts with China, may prefer the balancing strategies.

### **The United States Warfare Strategy Against China**

As a result, the Indo-Pacific strategy of the United States has shifted with a major aim of checking China in the IPR.

### **Diplomatic Engagement and Alliances**

The diplomatic action and improvement of relationships with partners remain the key focus points for the United States. The US has been building up the bilateral level relations with important ASEAN members and has also been increasing its interaction with ASEAN collectively. This comprises high-level forums that meet often, defense cooperation agreements, and other forums targeting building capacity and resilience. The U.S. also aims to strengthen its cooperation and relationship with countries such as Japan, South Korea, Australia, and India. These alliances are perceived as significant in the balancing of power in the region to avert an attack from China (Wuthnow, 2022).

### **Trade Relations and Economics Measures**

The economic aspect of the U.S. strategy involves liberalization of trade, combating China's economic aggression and fostering development in the area. China's trade policies and measures have become controversial in some countries, especially the United States of America, regarding aspects such as Intellectual Property Rights, subsidies, and market access, among others. Ever since the trade war broke out, which involved putting tariffs and countermeasures the U. S and China have been major players in the conflict (Kim, 2019).

### **Military Presence and Security Cooperation**

The second component of the U.S. strategy is the military, which entails a large and ready force in the IPR. This involves Sea Lane of Communications operations, naval and air force power projection, Freedom of Navigation operations, and

improvement of the missile defense system. It also undertakes annual exercises with partners and allies in the region to enhance partner's capacity and readiness as well. The U. S. Indo-Pacific Command is key in managing US military operations and regional readiness and conflict contingencies. The United States also delivers security aid and sells weapons to regional states to strengthen their force and preparedness against aggression.

### **Ideological and Normative Dimensions**

The U.S. strategy's instrumental and powerful political aspects are based on the four core U.S. values of democracy, human rights, and the rule of law. In this respect, the United States aims to challenge what it views as Chinese authoritarianism and Chinese efforts to redefine the world order. This includes funding of democracy and governance institutions, civil society, and governance-related changes in the South East Asian nations. It also conducts public diplomacy and information warfare to sell its ideas and fight against the Chinese (Burke et al., 2022). This includes public diplomacy, such as information sharing through the media, exchange of educational programs, and cultural relations to create a positive attitude towards one's culture among the region's people. The strategy of comprehensive competition in the U. S. It will also evaluate how regional states have been responding to policies being implemented by the United States and other impacts it has on the stability of the whole IPR.

### **China's Response to US Competition**

The multiplicity of aggression detected in the Chinese administration's reactions to the United States' comprehensive competition strategy brought up elements of diplomacy, economic, military, and ideological conflict. The main focus of this study is to analyze comprehensively how China is managing the effects created by the U.S. policies and the tactics used by China to advance its stakes in Southeast Asia and in the rest of the IOR.

### **Diplomatic Initiatives and Partnerships**

The multilateral diplomatic approaches to the diplomatic strategies of China in Southeast Asia whereby both bilateral and multilateral strategic engagements form part of China's diplomacy. China's mainline political and diplomatic approach is a 'Community of shared future' where sovereignty, mutual non-interference, and co-win strategy are followed. It is a view that is implemented as anatomy to the U. S approach that China frowns upon as hegemonic and imperialistic (Huang, 2024). The relations between China and a few of the Southeast Asian nations have been diplomatic, though many of them have visited China and formulated several economic and cultural relations with China. As for China's interaction with the region, BRI is an effective tool used by China providing the required infrastructure, necessary investments, and technical support.

### **Economic Strategies and Investments**

Economic interdependence is one of the major trends in China's approach to Southeast Asia. It has helped to make very large investments in other sectors notably infrastructure like Ports, Railways Energy Sectors etc. All these initiatives are aimed at improving the connection within the region and tying Southeast Asia even more



tightly to China's economy. The free trade agreements and preferential tariffs adopted by China have as a key objective the opening up of the country's markets and the enhancement of cooperation with the countries in the region. Appreciable progress in this context can be seen from the role of regional comprehensive economic partnership, where China is a member of several Southeast Asian countries. Nevertheless, some of the economic initiatives, that are currently being implemented in China, are quite questionable. Some of these concerns include; the sustainability of the debt levels in several South East Asian countries, environmental concerns, and rights of workers that have been contentious in several South East Asian countries. Moreover, China's employment of economic means in political matters, especially in the issue of the SCS, created questions on dependency and sovereignty (Jung et al., 2021).

### **Modernization of Armed Forces and Security Provision**

The self-sufficiency and military modernization, along with its security agenda, are components of the contest with the United States. China's military has been increasing its forces, especially on the naval front, through its superior force, the PLA. Militarization, through building artificial islands in the SCS and stationing military equipment, is a sign to try and test territories and defend fundamental seaways. China also uses military diplomacy in terms of naval exercises, naval visits, and defense dialogue with the countries in the region (Mokry, 2023). China has no official military client states in Southeast Asia, but it has established defense relations with countries such as Cambodia and Laos, often involving Military assistance and training.

Hence, some of the member countries of the ASEAN, more so those who are involved in border disputes with China, are concerned about China's military aggression within the area. However, the growing military power of China also works as a hedge against the American military influence in the same region, which is the manifestation of the general rivalry.

### **Ideological and Normative Dimensions**

China's ideological viewpoint and its public diplomacy goals are for it to showcase a China that is desirable and worthy of competition. This practice is done through mass media, exchange with other cultures, educational systems, and the influential think tank diplomacy to influence the people's attitude in the regional affirmative manner. In economic terms, China's story is built around the theme of miraculous growth and stability compared to various problems of the West's democracies. This narrative is prominent in authoritarian and hybrid political systems, where China is considered to offer a suitable model of governance instead of liberal democracy (Ikenberry, 2024). However, at the same time, challenges to Chinese diplomacy include human rights abuses, including the Uighur issue that limits its soft power. These problems, plus the problems that have risen to media freedom and academic independence make difficult the image of China in the region.

### **Technological and Cyber Dimensions of China United States Competition**

The technological and cyber aspects of Sino-U.S. strategic competition are becoming a more significant source in determining the strategic dynamics of Southeast

Asia's diversified structure. This study discusses how these factors constitute competition, including telecommunication, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and digital infrastructure, and the effects on the region's security and development.

### **Telecommunications and 5G Networks**

The commercial technological contest touches on an important angle that is public: the battle for control over the 5G telecommunication systems. Southeast Asia has increasingly become the theatre of emerging 5G technology, with both Huawei and ZTE of China at the forefront of implementing this new technology in the region. Many of these companies provide extensive services with reasonable prices that are inviting to numerous countries aiming to advance their telecommunication systems (Briefings, 2023).

The United States, however, has criticized its usage of Chinese technology in sensitive and strategic facilities on the grounds of security. American authorities claim that Chinese firms are obliged by the law to share information with Chinese intelligence agencies, which may lead to the vulnerability of data and communication. Therefore, the U.S. has been calling for the Restriction of Chinese technology from 5G networks and encouraging the use of those from the U. S., Europe, and Japan. This competition has put Southeast Asian countries on a tightrope; on the one hand, they get cheap and quality products from China, while on the other hand, they feel the heat from the security and political point of view of the United States of America. Stakeholders' choices in this area will define the future of digital development and protection of the region.

### **Cybersecurity and Information Warfare**

Like most modern elements, cybersecurity can also be considered a significant factor in the Sino-U.S. competition. China and the United States are technologically capable in cyberspace and have both been accused of cyber intelligence and cyber warfare on each other, as well as other countries. It is only in recent times that Southeast Asian nations are being gradually recognized as the target of cybercrimes initiated by both state and non-state actors (Lee, 2024). Such information attacks include data leakage, cyber extortion, cyber influencing, etc. Since digital economies are growing in the region, the aspects that are inconsistent with it, like security threats, also grow and become an area of concern for governments and corporations. China currently has a different agenda from that of the United States of America in as much as both nations agree on the need to thwart cyber-attacks, but Beijing seeks to promote cyberspace sovereignty while the United States wants to advance an open web in the global world. These contrasting views can influence the policies of HAM/Human Rights in Southeast Asia and the framework regulating the country's policies, ranging from Internet control to digital business.

### **Intelligent Technologies and Innovations**

These are some definitions of this strategic competition in these technologies: AI, Quantum Computing, and Biotechnology. China and the United States are steadily investing much money into creating AI technologies and systems and understanding AI's opportunities to change the business sector, countries, and warfare. China professes an approach to A.I. governance encompassing vast state sponsorship and a



high degree of state coordination. China is already ahead of the rest of the world in a few categories of A.I. use, such as facial recognition and surveillance systems. The USA remains among the leading countries in the concentration of private capital and research centers. It remains the leader in fundamental research and development of artificial intelligence technologies (Gawi et al., 2024). technologies like AI, big data, and the IoT reveal how Southeast Asian industries can foster development. However, they also have several disadvantages, such as regulation and ethical and social issues with these technologies. According to the analysis, the long-term perspectives for the countries' competitiveness and Security will remain closely connected with the selected paths of cooperation and standards referring to technologies.

### **Digital Infrastructure and Connectivity**

This is different in the case of Southeast Asia, where the evolution is more dependent on creating digital platforms, which include broadband networks, data centers, and cloud services. The prominent leaders in this segment are the countries, including China and the United States, that introduced various models and technologies. Another aim of the Digital Silk Road is the investment promotion of public-private partnership (PPP) projects as a component of the BRI that deals with digital connecting and emphasizes infrastructure facilitation, e-commerce, and smart cities. In the current context, it is the U.S.'s policy through initiatives such as The Blue Dot Network to promote the development of high-quality infrastructure that is not only green but free from obfuscation of its financing and conforms to the reference standards.

This competition also entails the development of international standards for technologies such as 5G, AI, and IoT, among others. Synchronised and efficiency-oriented collection and analyses illustrated that applying various standards of integrity and compatibility splits the market, hence the exchange of information across countries. The technological and cyber factors of the Sino-U.S. rivalry are also outlined in this chapter. U.S. rivalry regarding the military and political actions of both powers, prevention actions of the SEA countries, and their consequences for the regional stability, development sovereignty and governance of cyberspace.

### **CONCLUSION**

The comparative evaluation of Sino-US opposition reveals a multifaceted rivalry characterized by cooperation and struggle. The US aims to maintain its strategic dominance through army alliances and monetary partnerships, while China seeks to reshape the local order to reflect its interests. This dynamic has brought about multiplied tensions, military confrontations, and a heightened threat of miscalculation, specifically in contested regions of the SCS and Taiwan Strait. As the Indo-Pacific panorama continues evolving, each international location must carefully navigate this complicated environment. The potential for conflict stays sizeable; however, does the possibility for speaking a speaking operation on shared challenges inclusive of climate change, change, and nearby stability. The Sino-U.S. competition in this region is characterized by a first-rate power asymmetry, with each global location using a mixture of monetary, military, and diplomatic gear to enhance their

respective hobbies. This asymmetry is apparent in the variations of their strategic procedures, the character of their alliances, and their effect on nearby establishments and norms.

### **Recommendations**

The United States and China should prioritise diplomatic engagement to manage tensions and prevent misunderstandings. Establishing everyday conversation channels among navy leaders can help mitigate the dangers of accidental confrontations. Encouraging the participation of nearby organisations, including ASEAN, in safety dialogues can foster a more inclusive approach to local stability. This would permit smaller countries to voice their concerns and contribute to collective security preparations.

The US and China should explore avenues for monetary collaboration, particularly in regions like exchange, infrastructure development, and generation sharing. Joint initiatives can help build acceptance as accurate and reduce the antagonistic nature of their dating. Both powers should collaborate to tackle non-conventional protection challenges like climate change, pandemics, and cyber threats. Collaborative efforts in these areas can serve as a foundation for broader cooperation.

The US should continue assisting nearby allies in growing their defense talents while taking transparency and confidence-building measures to lessen the likelihood of arms races. Cultural and academic exchanges between the United States and China can help bridge the distance between the two countries. Programs that promote mutual expertise can contribute to an extra stable bilateral relationship. Also, establishing a proper mechanism for battle decisions in the Indo-Pacific can help address disputes earlier than they arise. This framework should consist of provisions for arbitration and mediation regarding impartial events.

### **REFERENCES**

- Ahamed, A. (2020). China-Myanmar Bilateral Relations: An Analytical Study of Some Geostrategic and Economic Issues. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 10(3), 321-343. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5296/jpag.v10i3.17704>
- Ahmed, A. j. (2023). The South China Sea in light of regional rivalry. *Political Science Research Journal*, 55, 445-462. Retrieved from <https://www.iasj.net/iasj/download/9427fbc8bd1ca46e>
- Anjum. (2001). New Local Government System: a step towards community empowerment. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 845-867.
- Aung, K. H. (2020). The Political Economy of China-Myanmar Bilateral Relations Under the framework of Belt and Road Initiative. *Journal of Governance and Public Policy*, 7, 88-103. doi:<https://doi.org/10.18196/jgpp.72125>
- Aurat Foundation. (n.d.). Citizen's Campaign for Women's Participation. Aurat Publication and Information Service Foundation.
- Ba, A. (2023, September 06). In Southeast Asia, U.S.-China Competition Is More than a Two-Player Game. Retrieved from USIP: <https://www.usip.org/publications/2023/09/southeast-asia-us-china-competition-more-two-player-game>

- Barbara Lippert, V. P. (2020). Strategic Rivalry between United States and China: Causes, trajectories, and implications for Europe. *Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik*. Retrieved from <https://www.swp-berlin.org/10.18449/2020RP04/>
- Bari, F. (2001). Local Government Elections December, 2002. Islamabad: Pattan Development Corporation.
- Barth, E., Reisel, L., & Ostbakken, K. M. (2023). The Equality Hurdle: Resolving the Welfare State Paradox. *Work, Employment and Society*.
- Beijing Platform for Action and Development. (1995). UN.
- Bing, N. C. (2024, January 16). Southeast Asians Are Using China Engagement to Compel Greater U.S. Regional Involvement. Retrieved from *Carnegie China*: <https://carnegieendowment.org/posts/2024/01/southeast-asians-are-using-china-engagement-to-compel-greater-us-regional-involvement?lang=en>
- Briefings, E. (2023). US-Chinese rivalry permeates South-east Asian 5G race. doi:doi:10.1108/oxan-db279598
- Burke, R., & Matisek, J. (2022). The Soft Path to U.S. Hegemony in the 21st Century: An American Brain Drain Policy against Strategic Competitors. *Global Security and Intelligence Studies*, 7(1), 73-93. Retrieved from <https://typeset.io/pdf/the-soft-path-to-u-s-hegemony-in-the-21st-century-an-1omo98vy.pdf>
- Cheema, A. K. (2005). Decentralization in Pakistan: Context, Content and Causes. KSG Working paper No. RWP05-034.
- Chiang, M.-H., & Micheaux, E. L. (2022). China's outward foreign direct investment in Southeast Asia: Analyzing the Chinese state's strategies and potential influence. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 64(6), 581-583. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22311>
- China, U. M. (2018, June 15). History of The U.S and China. Retrieved from *US Embassy & Consulates in China*: <https://china.usembassy-china.org.cn/history-of-the-u-s-and-china/>
- Cook, M. (2014). Southeast Asia and the Major Powers: Engagement not Entanglement. *Southeast Asian Affairs*, 1, 37-52. Retrieved from <https://www.muse.jhu.edu/article/552384>
- Election Commission of Pakistan. (2001). Local Government Elections Report 2000-2001. Islamabad: ECP.
- Friedman, H. (1960). Pakistan's Experiment in Basic Democracies. *Pacific Affairs*.
- Gawi, Y. A., & Abdullahi, A. (2024). The competition between the United States and China in the emerging technologies and its implications on the associated national security. *Journal of Global Social Sciences*, 5(18), 39-54. doi:<https://doi.org/10.58934/jgss.v5i18.266>
- Grossman, D. (2023, December 05). America's Indo-Pacific Alliances Are Astonishingly Strong. Retrieved from *Foreign Policy*: <https://foreignpolicy.com/2023/12/05/us-china-alliances-allies-geopolitics-balance-power-asia-india-taiwan-japan-south-korea-quad-aucus/>
- Hu, W. (2020). The United States, China, and the Indo-Pacific Strategy. *China Review*, 20(3), 127-142. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26928114>

- Huang, C.-H., & Ho, S. (2024). Introduction: Domestic Determinants of Southeast Asia's Relations with the United States and China. *Contemporary Southeast Asia: A Journal of International and Strategic Affairs*, 46(1), 1-18. Retrieved from <https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/70/article/925573/pdf>
- Huq, S., & Shohid, M. (2016). Women's Participation in Local Government: A Tool for Sustainable Development of Bangladesh. *European Journal of Business Social Sciences*.
- Ikenberry, G. J. (2024). Three Worlds: the West, East and South and the competition to shape global order. *International Affairs*.
- Jabeen, M. (2019). The Local Government system of Pakistan: Participation, Representation and Empowerment of Women. *Pakistan Perspective*.
- Jabeen, M., & Awan, S. M. (2017). Gender Quotas as an Instrument for Women's Empowerment in Legislature. *FJWU Journal of Social Sciences*.
- Jackson, W. (1965). *Local Government in England*. Civic Affairs.
- Jung, S. C., Lee, J., & Lee, J.-Y. (2021). The Indo-Pacific Strategy and US Alliance Network Expandability: Asian Middle Powers' Positions on Sino-US Geostrategic Competition in Indo-Pacific Region. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 30(127), 53-68. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2020.1766909>
- Khattak, S. G. (2010). Women in Local Government: The Pakistan Experience. *IDS Bulletin*.
- Kleinfeld, R. (2023, September 05). Polarization, democracy, and political violence in the United States: What the research says. Retrieved from [CarnegieEndowment.org.:](https://carnegieendowment.org/)  
<https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2023/09/polarization-democracy-and-political-violence-in-the-united-states-what-the-research-says?lang=en>
- Klimenko, A. F. (2023). Southeast Asia in the context of the rivalry between the USA and China. *USA & Canada: economics, politics, culture*, 8, 112-124. doi:<https://doi.org/10.31857/S2686673023080102>
- Kominfo, K. (2023, April 28). ASEAN Centrality, What Does It Mean? Retrieved from ASEAN INDONESIA 2023: <https://asean2023.id/en/news/asean-centrality-what-does-it-mean>
- Kosandi, M. (2014). Conflicts in the South China Sea and ChinaASEAN Economic Interdependence:A Challenge to Cooperation. *ASEAN-Canada Research Partnership*.
- Krook, M. L. (2009). *Quotas for Women in Politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Kucukdegrmenci, O. (2021). China's Encounter with West in the 19th Century: Power Relations. *The Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(10), 333-348. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/1862273>
- Lee, S. (2024). U.S.-China Technology Competition and the Emergence of Techno-Economic Statecraft in East Asia: High Technology and Economic-Security Nexus. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*.
- Liu, Q. (2024). Comparison of Hedging Strategies between Vietnam and the Philippines amid the U.S.-China Strategic Competition. *International Journal*

- of Social Sciences and Public Administration, 2(2), 31-42. doi:DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62051/ijsspa.v2n2.07>
- Malik, M. (2018). China and India: Maritime Maneuvers and Geopolitical Shifts in the Indo-Pacific. *Rising Powers Quarterly*, 3(2), 67-81. Retrieved from <https://rpquarterly.kureselcalismalar.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Rising-Powers-Quarterly-Volume-3-Issue-2.pdf>
- Malik, N. R. (2019). The History of Local Government in Pakistan: What Lessons to Learn? *Journal of International Politics*.
- Mirza, N. (2002). *Women's Participation in Local Government Elections 2000-2001*. Islamabad: Aurat Publication and Information Service Foundation.
- Mokry, S. (2023). Grand strategy and the construction of the national interest: the underpinnings of Sino-US strategic competition. *International Politics*, 1-19. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-023-00452-w>
- National Reconstruction Bureau(2002). (2010). *Devolution Row: An Assessment of Pakistan's 2001 Local Elections*. Islamabad.
- Roy, D. (2023). Small powers in the Indo-Pacific Strategies, opportunities and challenges. In B. Kratiuk, J. J. Bosch, A. Jaskólska, & Y. Sato, *Handbook of Indo-Pacific Studies* (pp. 440-458). Abingdon: Routledge. doi:DOI: 10.4324/9781003336143-27
- Ryes, S. L. (2002). Qoutas for Women for Legislative Seats at the Local Level in Pakistan. *International IDEA Workshop*. Jakarta.
- Saigol, R. (2011). *Women's Empowement in Pakistan: A Scoping Study*. USA: Aurat Publication and Information Services Foundation.
- Salisbury, E. (2024, May 20). China's PLAN: Maritime dominion beyond the South China Sea. Retrieved from Council on Geostrategy: <https://www.geostrategy.org.uk/research/chinas-plan-maritime-dominion-beyond-the-south-china-sea/>
- Schulenburg, R. (2023). Alignment Choices in an Era of US-China Competition: Navigating a Taiwan Contingency and Trade Relationships. In C. Y. Sueo Sudo, *ASEAN and Regional Actors in the Indo-Pacific* (pp. 93-135). Springer Singapore. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-4020-2>
- Shaheen, M. (2024). US-China Competition in the South China Sea and International Law. *Pakistan Horizon*, 41-56. Retrieved from <https://www.pakistan-horizon.piiia.org.pk/index.php/pakistan-horizon/article/download/343/291>
- Shambaugh, D. (2018). U.S.-China Rivalry in Southeast Asia: Power Shift or Competitive Coexistence? *International Security*, 42(4), 85-117. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1162/isec\\_a\\_00314](https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00314)
- Shareh Qazi, I. F. (2022). Restructuring Strategic Maritime Interests and Blockade Politics in the Indian Ocean. *Journal of Security and Strategic Analyses(JSSA)*, 8(2), 104-123. doi:<https://doi.org/10.57169/jssa.008.02.0198>
- Sherwood, L. (2016, september 16). Small states' strategic hedging for security and influence. Retrieved from Trends: Research and Advisory.: <https://trendsresearch.org/insight/small-states-strategic-hedging-for-security-and-influence/>

- Shullman, M. S., & O., D. (2022, July 28). Cooperation with China: Challenges and opportunities. Retrieved from Atlantic Council: <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/cooperation-with-china-challenges-and-opportunities/>
- SPDC. (2007). Devolution and Human Development in Pakistan. Karachi: SPDC.
- SPDC. (2007). Social Development in Pakistan: Devolution and Human Development in Pakistan. Karachi.
- Staples, L. H. (1990). Powerful Ideas About Empowerment. *Administration in Social Work*, 29-42.
- Stein, J. (1997). *Empowerment and Women's Health: Theory, Methods and Practice*. Zed Books.
- Steinbock, D. (2018). U.S.-China Trade War and Its Global Impacts. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*.
- Syed, N., Tabassum, A., & Tabassum, H. (2015). Shifting Trends of Women's Participation in Local Government in Pakistan. *Mystic Thoughts*.
- Tripathi, T. (2011). *Women's Empowerment: Concepts and Empirical Evidence from India*. Centre for Development Economics. Delhi: Delhi School of Economics.
- Uluwaduge, P. (2022). China-Sri Lanka Economic Relations: Opportunities and Challenges for Sri Lanka. *Sri Lanka Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2(2), 79-91. doi:doi: 10.4038/sljssh.v2i2.75
- UNDP. (2016). *Local Government Acts 2013 and Province -Local Government Relations*.
- Voloshina, A. (2023). Troubled Waters: the Taiwan Issue since the Third Taiwan Strait Crisis. In J. Ciesielska-Klikowska, *Rethinking Asia in World Politics* (pp. 63-85). Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego. Retrieved from <https://typeset.io/pdf/troubled-waters-the-taiwan-issue-since-the-third-taiwan-4bxle5pbuv.pdf>
- Vu, A. T. (2023). China-US Militarized Interstate Disputes (2001-2022): The Escalation Of The Great Power Rivalry. *International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(3), 48-53. doi:DOI: 10.56734/ijahss.v4n3a6
- Wang, T., & Tan, A. C. (2021). Balancing, bandwagoning or hedging: Taiwan's strategic choices in the era of a rising China. *Political Science*, 73(1), 1-19. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00323187.2021.1967765>
- Wilson, D. &. (2011). *Local Government in UK*. Red Globe Press.
- Wuthnow, J. (2022). Chinese Perspectives on US Strategy in Asia, 2017–2021. *Asian Perspective*, 46(3), 401-422. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1353/apr.2022.0016>.
- Yazdani, F. (2004). *Women's Representation in Local Government in Pakistan: Impact Analysis and Future Policy Implications*. Central European University Center for Policy Studies.
- Yi, S. W. (2024, March 13). Understanding China's efforts to bridge the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait disputes. Retrieved from LOWY INSTITUTE: <https://www.lowyinstitute.org/the-interpreter/understanding-china-s-efforts-bridge-south-china-sea-taiwan-strait-disputes>



- Zha, W. (2023). Southeast Asia amid Sino-US Competition: Power Shift and Regional Order Transition. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 16(2), 241–261. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poad006>
- Zhang, J. (2023). Rebuilding strategic autonomy: ASEAN's response to US–China strategic competition. *China International Strategy Review*, 73-89. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s42533-023-00128-3.pdf>
- Zhang, J. (2024). Analyzing China's Global Influence Through the Belt and Road Initiative: A Case Study of the Pakistan-China Energy and Economic Corridor. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, 63(1), 185-190. doi:DOI:10.54254/2753-7048/63/20240989
- Zia, M. (2009). *Aurat Foundation Report, 2006&2007*. Karachi: Aurat Publication & Information Service Foundation.
- Ziring, L. (1966). "The administration of Basic Democracies" in *Administrative Problems in Pakistan* by Gutherie S. Birkhead . New York: Syracuse university press.