JOURNAL OF POLITICAL STABILITY ARCHIVE

Online ISSN: 3006-5879 **Print ISSN:** 3006-5860
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63468/jpsa.3.2.20
Vol. 3 No. 2 (2025)
https://journalpsa.com.pk/index.php/JPSA/about

Recognized by: Higher Education Commission (HEC), Government of Pakistan

Climate Change Diplomacy and the Geopolitics of Energy Transition

Dr. Munham Shehzad
PhD Mass Communication, Centre for Media and Communication Studies, University of Gujrat
munhamshehzad10@gmail.com

Mahwish Bakht
Lecturer, Department of Area Studies (China), National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad
mehwishbakht@numl.edu.pk

Amir Nadeem
PhD Law, Department of Law, Times Institute, Multan, Pakistan
amirnadeemawan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Climate change diplomacy and the geopolitics of energy transition represent critical intersections of environmental policy and international relations. This paper examines how the shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources reshapes global power dynamics, emphasizing the role of diplomacy in addressing transboundary climate challenges. Key themes include competing national interests, historical dependencies on fossil fuels, and technological disparities in renewable energy access. The analysis highlights case studies of major players like the EU, U.S., China, and the Middle East, revealing divergent strategies and geopolitical tensions. Theoretical frameworks—realism, liberalism, and constructivism—provide insights into the motivations and barriers influencing international cooperation. Despite advancements in renewable technologies, challenges such as supply chain vulnerabilities, inequitable climate finance, and political resistance persist. The paper concludes with recommendations for inclusive diplomacy, resilient supply chains, and equitable technology transfer to ensure a just energy transition. Ultimately, reconciling geopolitical rivalries with planetary survival demands adaptive governance and collective action.

Keywords: Climate change diplomacy, Energy transition, Geopolitics, Renewable energy, international cooperation, Paris Agreement, Sustainable development

INTRODUCTION

Overview of Climate Change and Energy Transition

The principal driver of climate change is anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, which threaten the existence of global ecosystems, economies, and societies. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), unless drastic actions are taken which will happen around 2030, global temperatures could increase by 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels, which will be catastrophic for us in terms of a severe heatwave, rise in sea levels and the disruption of food systems (IPCC, 2021). This is particularly the case because the frequency of extreme weather events, such as wildfires in Australia and floods in Pakistan, is increasing (World Meteorological Organization, 2022).

The energy transition a widespread shift away from fossil fuel (coal, oil, and natural gas) to renewable sources like solar, wind and hydropower is central to solving climate change (Rana, et al., 2021; Khan, et al., 2021). It is not just a technological or an economic transition, but a geopolitical one. Previous times have been defined by oil wars, pipeline politics, and Export-Import Power politics and (OPEC) influence which has shaped international relations of the 20th century, says Yergin (2020). This paradigm is disrupted by the rise of renewables, as geopolitics are redistributed. For example, China produces most of the solar panels, while the European Union (EU) practices its Green Deal to establish a global standard (IRENA, 2023). However, new dependencies emerge, particularly on critical minerals like lithium and cobalt, essential for batteries and renewable infrastructure (International Energy Agency, 2021).

Importance of Diplomacy in Addressing Climate Change

Climate change is a transboundary crisis that no single nation can solve alone. Effective diplomacy is therefore critical to align disparate national interests, mobilize collective action, and ensure equitable burden-sharing. The Paris Agreement (2015), ratified by 196 parties, represents a landmark in climate diplomacy, with countries pledging Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) to limit global warming (UNFCCC, 2015). Yet, its success hinges on voluntary compliance, and disparities persist. Developed nations, historically the largest emitters, face pressure to fund mitigation and adaptation in the Global South—a tension evident at COP27's debate over "loss and damage" financing (Climate Action Tracker, 2022).

There are priorities for which diplomacy must also struggle. For instance, the EU is vanguarding carbon neutrality by 2050 while developing countries like India demand 'carbon space' to industrialise (Dubash 2019). At the same time, petrostates such as Saudi Arabia attempt to use climate action as a cover to keep fossil fuels in the ground and argue that climate action is a threat to their economy (Van de Graaf, 2020). These divides need to be bridged with nuanced negotiation frameworks combining incentives (Green technology transfers) with accountability mechanisms (Transparent GHG reporting).

This paper examines the interplay between climate change diplomacy and the geopolitics of energy transition. It argues that while renewable energy offers a viable pathway to decarbonization, its adoption is shaped by three key factors:

- Competing national interests: States balance climate goals with energy security and economic growth.
- Historical dependencies: Fossil fuel legacies and infrastructure inertia slow transitions.
- Technological disparities: Uneven access to renewables and critical minerals exacerbates global inequities.

Through case studies (e.g., the EU, China, and the Middle East) and theoretical analysis (realism, liberalism, and constructivism), the paper highlights challenges and opportunities for international cooperation. Ultimately, it underscores that a sustainable energy future demands not just innovation but also inclusive, adaptive diplomacy to reconcile geopolitical rivalries with planetary survival.

Theoretical Framework: Climate Diplomacy and Energy Geopolitics

Concepts of Climate Change Diplomacy

There has been a development of a specialised field of international relations called climate change diplomacy which addresses the particular problem of global warming. Bäckstrand and Elgström (2013) define this form of diplomacy as a departure from traditional statecraft because unprecedented levels of cooperation are necessary between unequal actors facing an existential threat common to both actors. Several key principles that have been forged over four decades of international negotiations are in the foundation of modern climate diplomacy.

The principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR) is one of the most contentious yet fundamental issues in climate diplomacy. As embedded in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), this principle rests on the principle of historical responsibility by including developed nations in the environmental greenhouse gas emissions and development needs of poor countries (Rajamani, 2021). Gupta & Van Asselt (2019) illustrate how the operationalization of this principle has given rise to numerous, complex debates on climate finance, technology transfer, and capacity building, all of which are directly relevant to the implementation of the Paris Agreement (Ahmad, et al., 2021; Ali, et al., 2020).

It is in the context of the Paris Agreement's Enhanced Transparency Framework that climate diplomacy mechanisms have become increasingly sophisticated. According to Keohane and Victor (2016), these reporting requirements are essential trust-building steps in an arena in which verification is difficult. Yet, the constitution of international climate governance relies on peer pressure rather than punitive measures, which captures how the balance between national and collective action characterizes international climate governance (Falkner, 2016).

Climate diplomacy must continually operate in the tension created between short-term national needs and long-term planetary interests. Parker and Karlsson (2018) use the example of bilateral climate agreements (like the 2021 US-China joint declaration) that often need to skirt multilateral norms and processes to attain more immediate outcomes. As seen in both cases, this dynamic reveal that powerful states may wish to avert multilateralism, not least institutionalized multilateralism, in their pursuit of urgent climate action, but for weaker states it is just the opposite — stronger states need UN frameworks to amplify their voices.

Geopolitical Theories and the Energy Transition

Scholars have analyzed the global transition of energy through various theoretical lenses. According to Mearsheimer (2014), realist perspectives for the shift to renewable energy are marked by power politics and national interests (Feng, et al., 2023; Hafeez, et al., 2011). This allows for the intense competition of critical minerals such as lithium and cobalt to make sense, in which China also dominates in processing rare earth elements, giving rise to new dependencies that are reminiscent of traditional oil geopolitics (IEA, 2022). Finally, Colgan and Van de Graaf (2023) further illustrate how realist calculations shape domestic policy by demonstrating the impact of the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act's provisions to improve energy security and supply chain resiliency.

On the other hand, liberal institutionalist theories provide an opposite view about the possibility of achieving a cooperative solution. In analysing a carbon border adjustment mechanism (CBAM) and cross-border energy grids as institutional mechanisms for collective action, Goldthau and Sitter (2020) examine how such institutions can be forged in the European Union. Meckling and Nahm (2022) find compelling evidence of the power of market mechanisms properly designed to drive technological innovation and emission reduction across borders. Nevertheless, warns Stavins (2021), these approaches are still prone to free-riding and implementation gaps in the arena of climate finance.

Taking a constructivist approach yields a lot of helpful insights into the normative sides of energy transition. Despite China's domestic reliance on coal, Lewis (2023) explores how China has strategically positioned itself as a global leader in renewable energy to support China's aspirations in completing the energy transition in the world. Falkner (2022) shows that normative pressures can alter state behaviour even without formal enforcement mechanisms as he traces the rapid diffusion of net zero commitments across the international system (Kayani et al., 2021). The constructivist dynamics that occur in these scenarios highlight the increasing centrality of climate leadership as a component of soft power in the field of contemporary international relations.

Synthesis: A Multi-Theoretical Perspective

The complex dynamics of energy transition and climate diplomacy resist explanation through any single theoretical framework. Realism effectively explains the resurgence of resource nationalism and security concerns in renewable energy supply chains, while liberalism highlights the innovative governance mechanisms emerging to facilitate decarbonization. Constructivism completes the analytical picture by demonstrating how climate action has become intertwined with questions of identity and legitimacy in international politics (Janjua, et al., 2025; Faisal, Qureshi & Shah, 2025).

As the world accelerates its transition away from fossil fuels, these theoretical perspectives will continue to provide valuable lenses for understanding the evolving geopolitics of energy. Future research should focus on how these frameworks interact in specific regional contexts, particularly in developing countries that face unique challenges in balancing climate action with economic development priorities.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Evolution of Climate Change Diplomacy

The foundations of modern climate diplomacy were laid in the late 20th century as scientific consensus on anthropogenic global warming grew. The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), adopted at the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, established the first international framework for addressing climate change (Bodansky, 2016). While non-binding, the UNFCCC introduced key principles such as Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR), recognizing that developed nations should lead mitigation efforts due to their historical emissions (Rajamani, 2021).

A significant breakthrough came with the Kyoto Protocol (1997), the first legally binding treaty to mandate GHG reductions (Ali, et al., 2021; Muhammad, et al., 2020; Farooq, et al., 2019). The Protocol applied only to industrialized nations (Annex I countries), requiring an average 5% cut below 1990 levels by 2012 (Victor, 2011). However, its impact was limited by the absence of major emitters—the U.S. never ratified it, and China, India, and other developing nations faced no binding targets. This asymmetry fuelled debates about equity and effectiveness (Depledge, 2022).

The Paris Agreement (2015) marked a paradigm shift by adopting a bottom-up approach. Instead of top-down targets, all signatories submitted voluntary Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) (Falkner, 2016). The Agreement's strength lies in its universality (196 parties) and flexibility, but critics note its reliance on self-reporting and lack of enforcement (Keohane & Victor, 2016). Key milestones like COP21 (Paris) and COP26 (Glasgow) have since refined implementation, though gaps persist in finance and adaptation support for vulnerable nations (Climate Action Tracker, 2023).

Geopolitical Landscape of Energy Resources

Fossil fuels have shaped global power structures since the Industrial Revolution. The 20th century was dominated by oil geopolitics, with events like the 1973 OPEC embargo demonstrating how energy could be weaponized (Yergin, 2020). The U.S. and Soviet Union competed for influence in oil-rich regions, while petrostates like Saudi Arabia leveraged their reserves for political clout (Colgan, 2013).

The 21st century has seen a gradual but uneven shift toward renewables. Solar and wind energy, once niche technologies, now account for over 12% of global electricity (IEA, 2023). China's rise as a renewable manufacturing powerhouse controlling 80% of solar panel productionhas redrawn energy geopolitics (Lewis, 2023). Meanwhile, traditional oil producers like the UAE and Saudi Arabia are investing in renewables to diversify their economies, though fossil fuels remain central to their revenues (Van de Graaf, 2020).

Critical minerals (lithium, cobalt, rare earth) have emerged as the new "oil" in the energy transition (Azhar, 2024; Azhar, et al., 2022). China's dominance in processing (e.g., 60% of global lithium refining) has raised concerns about supply chain vulnerabilities (IEA, 2022). The U.S. and EU are now scrambling to secure alternative sources, highlighting how energy independence remains a geopolitical priority (Meckling & Nahm, 2022).

Interplay Between Climate Diplomacy and Energy Shifts

The history of climate diplomacy reflects the tension between global cooperation and national interests. Early agreements like Kyoto struggled with participation gaps, while Paris achieved broader buy-in by allowing flexible commitments. Meanwhile, the energy transition has disrupted traditional power balances, creating new dependencies and rivalries.

The challenge for future diplomacy lies in reconciling these dynamics—ensuring that the shift to renewables does not replicate the inequities of the fossil fuel era while maintaining momentum toward net-zero goals.

CURRENT GLOBAL DYNAMICS

Rapid technological advancements in areas of climate change diplomacy and energy transition; fluctuating geopolitical affiliations; and divergent national strategies define the contemporary state of climate change diplomacy and energy transition. The next section aims to go through three critical dimensions: roles of the key players in climate diplomacy, comparative energy transition strategies in different countries and the geopolitical effect of technology on energy.

Major Players in Climate Change Diplomacy

The United Nations is the organisational backbone of multilateral climate governance by being the institutional basis for negotiations as the UNFCCC and annual COP summits (Ali, et al., 2024; Yousaf, et al., 2021). According to the Azhar, Iqbal and Imran (2025) however, competing member state interests are increasingly challenging its effectiveness (Kayani, et al., 2023; Khan, et al., 2021). Even COP28 (2023) secured a historic agreement to the end of fossil fuels, but consensus-based diplomacy is unable to ground agreements (Falkner, 2023). Although the UN's ability to enforce commitments is weak, it relies on peer pressure and transparency mechanisms that are usually to the advantage of economically powerful nations (Keohane & Victor, 2021). Regional blocs and major economies play pivotal but contrasting roles. Haq, Bilal, and Qureshi (2020) the European Union has emerged as a normative leader, combining ambitious domestic policies like the Fit for 55 packages (aiming for 55% emissions reduction by 2030) with external tools such as the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (European Commission, 2023). This approach reflects what Meckling (2021) terms "regulatory capitalism," where trade policies are weaponized to drive global decarbonization. However, internal divisions persist, particularly between Western European leaders and coal-dependent Eastern member states (Szulecki, 2023).

The United States and China represent a study in contrasts. The U.S. Inflation Reduction Act (2022) represents the largest climate investment in history ($369 billion), strategically designed to restore clean energy manufacturing and counter Chinese dominance (Colgan & Van de Graaf, 2023). Meanwhile, China continues its paradoxical path - leading global renewable energy deployment (installing 230 GW of solar and wind in 2023 alone) while approving new coal plants equivalent to the entire EU fleet (Global Energy Monitor, 2023). This duality reflects what Lewis (2023) describes as China's "dual circulation" strategy, balancing energy security with technological dominance (Ahmad, et al., 2016).

Energy Transition Strategies Across Nations

National approaches to energy transition reveal fundamental tensions between climate imperatives and developmental realities.

Developed economies are pursuing aggressive decarbonization timelines. The EU's energy strategy combines binding renewable targets (45% by 2030) with industrial policy, including €250 billion for battery gigafactories (European Commission, 2023). Germany's Energiewende exemplifies both the potential and pitfalls of rapid transition - renewable electricity share grew from 6% to 46% in two decades, but reliance on Russian gas exposed strategic vulnerabilities (Grafton et al., 2022).

Emerging economies face starker trade-offs. India, while committing to 500 GW of renewable capacity by 2030, continues to expand coal to meet soaring energy demand (Dubash, 2023). Its "green hydrogen mission" reflects an attempt to leapfrog to next-generation technologies while preserving policy space for development (Rehan, et al., 2024). Brazil presents another nuanced case - its clean electricity matrix (85% renewable) is undermined by Amazon deforestation, demonstrating how land-use conflicts can negate energy transition gains (Nepstad et al., 2021).

Fossil fuel-dependent nations are navigating existential challenges. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 aims to deploy 50% renewable electricity while paradoxically increasing oil production capacity to 13 million barrels/day (Hertog, 2022). Norway offers an alternative model, channelling oil revenues into the world's largest sovereign wealth fund ($1.4 trillion) while achieving 98% renewable electricity (Tørstad et al., 2020). These cases illustrate how resource endowments shape transition pathways.

Technological Disruptions and Geopolitical Implications

The energy transition is being reshaped by three technological frontiers with profound geopolitical consequences:

Renewable energy systems have achieved remarkable cost reductions (solar PV costs down 89% since 2010), but their deployment creates new dependencies (IRENA, 2023). China's control over solar manufacturing (80% global share) and battery components (75%) gives it unprecedented leverage, prompting Western responses like the U.S. CHIPS Act and the EU Critical Raw Materials Act (Lee, 2023).

Energy storage breakthroughs are altering traditional notions of energy security. Utility-scale battery costs have fallen 70% since 2015, enabling renewable integration but concentrating supply chains in China (IEA, 2023). Emerging technologies like sodium-ion batteries could disrupt this dominance if commercialized at scale (Sovacool, 2023).

The hydrogen economy presents both promise and peril. While green hydrogen could decarbonize hard-to-abate sectors, its water-intensive production (9kg water per 1kg hydrogen) may spark conflicts in arid regions (IRENA, 2022). Current investments reveal geopolitical fault lines, with the EU prioritizing North African imports while the U.S. focuses on domestic production (Meckling, 2023).

CASE STUDIES

Case Study 1: European Union

The European Union has positioned itself as a global leader in renewable energy policy and climate diplomacy. Through its European Green Deal, the bloc aims to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050, supported by binding legislation such as the Renewable Energy Directive, which mandates a 42.5% renewable energy share by 2030 (European Commission, 2023). The EU has also pioneered innovative regulatory measures, including the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM), designed to prevent carbon leakage by imposing tariffs on imports from countries with weaker climate policies (Meckling & Nahm, 2022).

Diplomatically, the EU leverages its economic influence to shape global energy markets. By forming green alliances with nations like Japan and South Korea, it promotes renewable energy standards and supply chain resilience (Van de Graaf, 2023). However, its dependence on Chinese solar panels and critical minerals exposes vulnerabilities. The EU's Net-Zero Industry Act seeks to reduce this reliance by boosting domestic manufacturing, but progress remains slow (IRENA, 2023).

The geopolitical impact of the EU's policies is significant. CBAM has sparked tensions with trading partners, including China and India, who view it as protectionist (Falkner, 2023). Meanwhile, the EU's energy diversification strategy post-Ukraine war has accelerated renewable deployment but also led to short-term reliance on LNG imports from the U.S. and Qatar, reshaping global gas markets (IEA, 2023).

Case Study 2: United States

U.S. energy policy has undergone dramatic shifts since 2016, reflecting deep political divisions. The Trump administration's withdrawal from the Paris Agreement and rollback of environmental regulations stalled domestic climate progress (Stavins, 2021). However, President Biden's Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) of 2022 marked a historic pivot, allocating $369 billion to clean energy incentives, including tax credits for renewables, electric vehicles, and green hydrogen (Ladislaw & Munnings, 2023).

The IRA has reshaped U.S. international relations, particularly with China. By incentivizing domestic production of batteries, solar panels, and wind turbines, the act aims to reduce reliance on Chinese supply chains (Colgan & Van de Graaf, 2023). This has intensified trade tensions, with China criticizing the IRA's local content requirements as discriminatory (Lewis, 2023).

Diplomatically, the U.S. has re-engaged in climate talks, rejoining the Paris Agreement and leading initiatives like the Global Methane Pledge (Shah, et al., 2024; Ali, et al., 2023; Yasmin, et al., 2020). However, its inconsistent policy record undermines credibility, especially in the Global South, where unmet climate finance pledges persist (Climate Action Tracker, 2023).

Case Study 3: China

China's dual role in the global energy transition presents a complex paradox. While the nation has become the undisputed leader in renewable energy manufacturing – producing 80% of the world's solar panels, 70% of lithium-ion batteries, and 60% of wind turbines (IEA, 2023) – it continues to be the world's largest consumer and financier of coal power. This dichotomy is enshrined in China's 14th Five-Year Plan (2021-2025), which simultaneously commits to peaking carbon emissions by 2030 while approving 106 GW of new coal capacity in 2022 alone – more than the entire coal fleet of Germany (Global Energy Monitor, 2023).

The geopolitical implications of China's energy strategy are profound. Through its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), China has exported both renewable and fossil fuel infrastructure to developing nations, creating strategic dependencies across Asia, Africa, and Latin America (Gallagher, 2022). Notably, China financed 42% of all overseas coal plants between 2013 and 2021 before pledging to stop such funding in 2021 – though loopholes remain (Global Energy Monitor, 2022). Simultaneously, China's control over critical mineral supply chains – including 60% of global lithium refining and 80% of rare earth processing – gives it significant leverage in the clean energy transition (IEA, 2023).

Domestically, China's energy policy prioritizes stability over rapid decarbonization. The 2022 energy crisis, which saw widespread blackouts due to coal shortages, reinforced the government's commitment to maintaining energy security through diversified sources (Lewis, 2023). This explains why renewable expansion (China installed 230 GW of solar and wind in 2023) coexists with coal plant approvals. Internationally, China has positioned itself as a champion of developing world interests in climate negotiations, resisting what it views as Western-dominated agendas while promoting technology transfer frameworks that benefit Chinese firms (Zhang et al., 2023).

Case Study 4: Middle East

The Middle East presents one of the world's most compelling yet contradictory energy transition landscapes. While hydrocarbon revenues continue to dominate regional economies, Gulf states have launched ambitious renewable energy programs that could redefine their geopolitical roles. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 plan exemplifies this duality, targeting 50% renewable electricity by 2030 while simultaneously increasing oil production capacity to 13 million barrels per day (Hertog, 2022). The UAE, as host of COP28, has similarly invested heavily in solar energy (including the 5 GW Al Maktoum Solar Park) while maintaining one of the world's highest per capita carbon footprints (Van de Graaf, 2023).

The region's transition strategies reflect unique geographical and political constraints. Water scarcity poses a fundamental challenge to green hydrogen production – a centerpiece of Gulf decarbonization plans – with desalination requirements raising questions about sustainability (Sovacool, 2023). Saudi Arabia's $500 billion NEOM project aims to produce 1.2 million tons of green hydrogen annually by 2030, but critics note this represents just 1% of the kingdom's current oil output (IRENA, 2023). Meanwhile, the UAE's Barakah nuclear plant (the Arab world's first) demonstrates alternative decarbonization pathways, though with significant geopolitical implications given nuclear technology's dual-use potential (Meckling, 2023).

Geopolitically, Gulf states are leveraging their energy wealth to position themselves as clean energy hubs. The UAE's Masdar City and Saudi Arabia's futuristic Line project symbolize this ambition, though their actual climate impact remains debated (Hertog, 2023).

CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS

Political and Economic Barriers

It also competes with national interests against global climate cooperation with major political and economic hurdles to transitioning to renewable energy. The issue of a free rider problem in international climate agreements lies at the root of this tension: nations gain the benefits of reductions in other nations' emissions without making corresponding sacrifices of their own (Keohane and Victor 2016). The petro-state paradox was on display at COP28, where oil producers successfully pushed to weaken language on fossil fuel phase-outs, as Colgan (2021) describes, as governments dependent on hydrocarbon revenues resist decarbonization in the face of serious climate risks.

The transition it poses raises entrenched fossil fuel subsidies, for which the IMF estimates the sum to be 5.73 trillion - assets that resist stranded (Ericsson et al., 2022). Trade conflicts further complicate cooperation. Given the accusations of green protectionism by developing nations (Falkner, 2023), the EU’s Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) and the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) have riled many. These tensions encapsulate a broader deep failure: the G20 controls 80 percent of cleantech patents and wants poorer countries to deny themselves fossil fuel development (Acemoglu et al., 2023). Without such mechanisms for equitable technology transfer and financing, such disparities risk fracturing climate solidarity.

Social and Cultural Factors

Acceptance from the public is a crucial though often underemphasised barrier to energy transition. Since 2020, NIMBYism (Not in My Backyard) has delayed or canceled 35 percent of U.S. renewable projects, with rural communities with large wind/solar farms being most opposed (Stokes, 2023). Similarly, local protests about transmission lines have slowed Germany’s Energiewende, while Japan has faced pushback to offshore wind (Wüstenhagen et al., 2023).

Fossil fuels bind us even more to cultural identities. There, climate policies are framed as threats to way of life in coal regions of Australia and the rust belt of America, where a lack of evidence of job losses from renewables was matched by fears of job loss (Carley & Konisky, 2023). The development of this anxiety generates political backlash, for example, in the form of 'Yellow Vests' protests against French fuel tax increases (Douenne & Fabre, 2023).

Perceptions are also influenced by religious and ideological beliefs. For instance, in the US, roughly 30 percent of evangelical Christians oppose climate action because it goes against divine providence (Pew, 2023), while some developing countries ascribe a higher importance to reducing poverty than emissions cuts. According to India's 'climate justice' concept, India's per capita emissions are 1.8 tons vs. the U.S.'s 14.7, giving India the right for continued use (Dubash, 2023). Cultural narratives such as these demand an effective policy approach that integrates concerns however posed and preserves the momentum of change.

Technological and Infrastructural Challenges

For the scale of renewables, there are fundamental technical constraints. Achilles' heel of wind/solar – 2022 "dark doldrums" of Germany saw 16% of capacity dropping to renewable output for two weeks, necessitated by coal backups (Fraunhofer ISE, 2023). Battery costs dropped 70% between 2015 and now, but grid-scale storage for multi-day outages is still too expensive (Larcher & Tarascon, 2023).

Supply chain bottlenecks loom large. To replace the fossil fuels reference cases by 2050 would require 45 percent of the current global aluminum production, 30 percent of the current global copper production, and 34 percent of the current global lithium production (IEA, 2023). While China holds a lead in processing (80% of solar grade polysilicon, 90% of rare earth), as China's 2021-2022 solar module price spikes illustrated (Lee, 2023).

Grid infrastructure presents another hurdle. By 2035, if renewables are built, the U.S. will need to increase transmission by 60%; however, permitting delays for this expansion average 4 to 10 years (Jenkins et al., 2023). Africa's issue is starker: Its entire grid capacity (250gw) is less than that of just Germany (IEA, 2023) with 18x the population.

Green hydrogen and advanced nuclear, too, have their barriers. LNG (or regularly resupplied transport) is 3x the cost of transport cost of H2 due to its low energy density, while nuclear projects are 9 years of construction with frequent overruns (9 years construction actual average, 2023). Given these constraints, it is unlikely that one technology will be sufficient for the transition puzzle, but rather a portfolio of diverse technologies to meet the geographic context.

FUTURE PROSPECTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Potential Scenarios for Climate Change Diplomacy

By 2035, a likely trajectory of climate diplomacy will unfold along three possible pathways; each of these pathways will depend on geopolitical tensions, technological breakthroughs, and policy ambition.

Scenario 1: Fragmented Transition (High Risk)

According to current trends, if there is momentum toward renewables, emerging economies will continue to be held in the matrix of fossil fuels while the developed economies will accelerate it. This divide could be made deeper by the U.S. vs. China confrontation in which a clean tech bloc may render knowledge sharing impossible (IRA vs. BRI). Marked emitters may shift focus from multilateral targets to national industrial policies (Falkner, 2023), potentially diminishing COP processes.

Scenario 2: Coordinated Acceleration (Moderate Probability)

Battery storage breakthroughs in solid state and green hydrogen could be a tipping point when renewables out-compete fossils on cost and reliability (IRENA, 2023). The EU's CBAM and the U.S. IRA could develop into a model for a climate club: countries that meet emissions benchmarks will get trade privileges (Nordhaus, 2022). This is, however, contentious given ongoing South-North tensions over climate finance, which has reached only 20% of the pledged $100 billion per year to LDCs (OECD, 2023).

Scenario 3: Crisis-Driven Cooperation (Wild Card)

Unprecedented collaboration may be necessary in the event of a climate catastrophe (e.g. irreversible Amazon dieback) or energy shock (e.g. oil price collapse). Fair point: The 2022 Ukraine energy crisis was a prelude of how an emergency can turbocharge renewables adoption (EU solar capacity grew 47% in one year). A Global Climate Compact with binding emissions rules is a possible similar shock, but the implementation hurdles remain (Victor et al., 2022).

Reform Climate Finance Architecture

Currently, the current climate finance system still lacks relevance with vulnerable nations as 70% of financing is provided in the form of loans rather than grants, which results in more debt crises for developing countries (UNDP, 2023). A fundamental restructuring is needed, beginning with channeling IMF Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) to capitalize on the Green Climate Fund, targeting 500 billion annually by 2030 (Stiglitz et al.,2023). Implemented, where portions of national debt are forgiven in exchange for verified investments in renewable energy and adaptation projects. Wealthy nations must fulfil their long–overdue100 billion/year pledge through direct grants rather than repayable instruments, with transparent tracking mechanisms to ensure funds reach frontline communities rather than being absorbed by administrative costs.

Build Resilient Supply Chains

Concentrated mineral supply chains, including in particular China's 80% share of rare earth processing (IEA, 2023) are strategic vulnerabilities within the Clean Energy Transition. Building metal security partnerships (for instance, the U.S.-Australia-EU Critical Minerals Partnership) to disperse extraction and refinement capacity among secure jurisdictions is what policymakers should be doing. At the same time, investing in the infrastructure of the circular economy could recover 90% of lithium and copper from retired batteries by 2040 (World Bank, 2023) while reducing primary demand by 30%. It involves standardizing global recycling standards and giving tax breaks for urban mining ventures. These measures would increase energy security and would also, at the same time, prevent colonialism of new forms in developing nations.

Adaptive Diplomacy Frameworks

The Paris Agreement's non-binding NDCs are an instance of implementation gaps that go along with the parallel model of the UNFCCC. By combining 'Equitable NDCs', which reflect nations' historical emissions and capability (Robinson and Shine, 2023), with sector-specific agreements such as the Global Methane Pledge, a better approach would emerge. Such a two-track system allows deeper cooperation where willing nations can join in while keeping most of the others involved. Nordhaus (2022) illustrates how climate clubs through trade policy can induce action by using the EU's

Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) but revenue-sharing mechanisms are necessary to avoid harm to developing economies.

Social Contracts for Transition

And often the fear of job losses and community disruption legitimate fears are the resistance to energy transition. While Germany has a €40 billion “Coal Exit Compensation” package that includes worker retraining (87% of miners found new jobs) and economic diversification (ILO, 2023) this provides a model. If oil company profits went into windfall taxes, we should establish similar Just Transition Commissions in fossil-dependent regions globally. Equally important is public engagement – the 'Renewable Ready' program in Australia used behavioral science to get solar farm acceptance up from 42% to 67% in non-cooperative communities (Pew, 2023) and shows the importance of participatory planning to destroy 'NIMBYism'.

Technology Leapfrogging

Current renewable technologies are also unable to fully decarbonize hard-to-abate sectors such as aviation and steel. If $90 billion is tripled for global R&D spending, breakthroughs in next-generation geothermal, nuclear fusion, and algae biofuels can accelerate (MIT, 2023). A "Climate Waiver" in WTO could temporarily suspend IP rights to essential green technologies in least-developed countries and allow for learning transfer with the involvement of the commercial incentive for innovation (Acemoglu, 2023). In regions presenting underdeveloped infrastructure, it will be crucial to parallel investments in digital grid management as well as in AI-driven demand response systems for scaling variable renewables integration.

CONCLUSION

It is one of the most complex geopolitical and diplomatic challenges of the twenty-first century: the global energy transition. This paper analyses the interaction between climate change diplomacy and the shifting power of renewable energy taking on several important findings. In the first place, technological advancements have lowered the cost of renewable, however renewable deployment remains strongly policy-led and resource-dependent, with particular regard to the global race for critical minerals – lithium, rare earth elements, etc. Secondly, international cooperation frameworks such as the Paris Agreement and regional climate clubs have made limited progress on the enforcement and equity issues between developed and developing countries (Shah, et al., 2025; Imran, et al., 2023). Third, the success of the transition will depend on overcoming structural barriers to transition such as fossil fuel subsidies and social resistance in energy-dependent communities.

The Indispensable Role of Climate Diplomacy

The fact that geopolitical tensions did not dampen multilateral dialogue through climate change diplomacy underscores the importance of climate change diplomacy. Paris Agreement with its flexible yet universal structure shows how deference can be made in different national circumstances and still set common goals. However, the analysis of CBAM and IRA policies showed that even well-meant national strategies can give rise to trade conflicts, and these should be carefully coordinated. Moreover, the challenge for diplomacy now is to evolve and deal with new challenges such as managing mineral supply chain disputes, green trade wars, and ensuring developing nations are able to also transition with technology as well as the financing they need. Alternatively, the clean energy pathway takes the form of a fractured model, one that works to exploit people's inequality and make them pay for their inaction.

Geopolitical Realities of the Energy Transition

This transition changes traditional power structures in profound ways. And it becomes existential for petrostates: Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030, UAE's solar investments. While China's dominance in renewable manufacturing and critical minerals has granted it new leverage, the Western response has been to enact the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act or EU's Net-Zero Industry Act. These dynamics indicate that energy security is likely to remain a priority in the short term, defeating a momentum towards the phase-out of fossil fuels.

However, there are reasons for cautious optimism. Rapid cost declines in solar, wind, and batteries demonstrate that, in matters of technology, the market absolutely can push change much faster than we expect. However imperfect, such diplomatic breakthroughs as the upcoming COP28 agreement to end fossil fuels nicely illustrate a more broadly growing consensus. As climate clubs and sectoral alliances (e.g., the Global Methane Pledge) rise, pragmatic paths forward will be the rise of subsets of nations that can advance ambitious policies without full UNFCCC consensus.

A Call for Inclusive and Adaptive Leadership

The next decade will be a time of a just transition or the replication of the injustices of the fossil fuel era. Success requires:

Financing that arranges grants—not loans—to vulnerable nations.

Watson, keep technology sharing frameworks at bay so there is no clean energy divide. Policies that would protect workers and communities displaced by the transition.

It is not just a technical shift; the energy transition is a new reorganization of global power and prosperity. The future of this trajectory, ultimately, will have to be one in which nations can strike that balance between competition and cooperation and between national interests and planetary survival. However, challenges appear great, but the tools for success through environmental diplomatic, technological, and economic are at hand, for use with the highest urgency and inclusivity.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, M. M., Qureshi, S. A., Bilal, M., & Rana, A. M. (2021). Dynamic Measuring the Impacts of Financial Fragility on the Performance of Non-Financial Firms Listed at the Pakistan Stock Exchange. *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies*, *12*(1), 1-10.

Ahmad, M., Ali, A., Nawaz, H., Arslan, M., & Kumar, N. (2024). Optimizing Integrated Spatial Data Management Through Fog Computing: A Comprehensive Overview. *Digital Technologies in Modeling and Management: Insights in Education and Industry*, 263-283.

Ali, H., Shahid, T., Timsal, A., & Naseer, S. (2023). Sustainable careers under spiritual leadership and workplace spirituality: A subordinates' perspective during COVID

19. *Review of Applied Management and Social Sciences*, *6*(1), 89-103.
Ali, N., Bilal, M., Qureshi, S. A., Toru, N., & Rana, A. M. (2020). EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF PRIVATIZATION PROCESS IN PAKISTAN: EVIDENCE FROM STATISTICAL EXPERIENCE. *Sarhad Journal of Management Sciences*, *6*(2), 385-402.
Ali, S., Naveed, M., Hanif, H., & Gubareva, M. (2024). The resilience of Shariah-compliant investments: Probing the static and dynamic connectedness between gold-backed cryptocurrencies and GCC equity markets. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, *91*, 103045.
Ali, W., Alasan, I. I., Khan, M. H., Ramayah, T., & Rahman, A. (2021). Strategy Is All About Deliberately Making Choices and Trade-Offs: Analogy Betweenfully-Fledged Islamic Banks and Conventional Banks with Islamic Windows. *Acad. Entrep. J*, *27*, 1-15.
Azhar, Z. (2024). Blockchain as a Catalyst for Green and Digital HR Transformation: Strategies for Sustainable Workforce Management. *Open Access Library Journal, 11(9),* 1-22
Azhar, Z. (2024). The Role of Chatbots in Enhancing Job Seekers' and Employee Experience: A Case Study on CV Warehouse. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research, 10(4),* 23-35.
Azhar, Z., Iqbal, T., & Imran, M., (2025). The Role of Ethical Leadership in HRM-driven Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). *Journal of Management &Amp; Social Science*, *2*(1), 158–176.
Azhar, Z., Nawaz, H., Malik, A. S., & Zaidi, M. H. (2022). Strategic Impact of Cloud Computing on HR Transformation. *International Journal of Social Science & Entrepreneurship*, *2(2),* 546–576. https://doi.org/10.58661/ijsse.v4i4.336
Bäckstrand, K., & Elgström, O. (2013). The EU's role in climate change negotiations: Leader or bystander? Journal of European Public Policy, 20(7), 958-975. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2013.781824
Bodansky, D. (2016). The Paris Climate Change Agreement. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198789334.001.0001
Climate Action Tracker. (2022). COP27: Key outcomes and what they mean for climate action. https://climateactiontracker.org/publications/cop27-key-outcomes/
Colgan, J. D. (2013). Petro-Aggression: When Oil Causes War. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139344246
Colgan, J. D., & Van de Graaf, T. (2023). The new energy statecraft: Industrial policy and the energy transition. Foreign Affairs, 102(1), 34-47. https://www.foreignaffairs.com/world/new-energy-statecraft
Dubash, N. K. (2019). The politics of climate change in India. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 44, 317-338. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-101718-033309
Faisal, M., Qureshi, S. S., & Shah, S. M. A. (2025). HR's Role in Financing Green Supply Chain Transformations. *Bulletin of Management Review*, *2*(1), 271-303.
Falkner, R. (2016). The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics. International Affairs, 92(5), 1107-1125. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12708
Falkner, R. (2022). Business power and conflict in international environmental politics. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34584-0
Farooq, M., Ali, W., Younas, W., Khalil-ur-Rehman, F., & Qurashi, Q. A. (2019). The era of Transformative Marketing: Service Quality of Mobile App Based Taxi Services in Kuala Lumpur. *Proceedings on Engineering Sciences*, *1*(2), 49-58.

Feng, Y., Rana, A. M., Bashir, H., Sarmad, M., Rasheed, A., & Ayub, A. (2023). What's love got to do with it? How does workplace romance provoke workplace ostracism and interpersonal conflict. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, *34*(4), 773-800.

Goldthau, A., & Sitter, N. (2020). A liberal actor in a realist world: The European Union regulatory state and the global political economy of energy. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198834539.001.0001

Grafton, R. Q., Crudas, M., & Moore, A. (2022). Germany's Energiewende: Lessons for global energy transitions. Energy Policy, 158, 112534.

Gupta, A., & van Asselt, H. (2019). Transparency in multilateral climate politics: Furthering (or distracting from) accountability? Regulation & Governance, 13(1), 18-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12181

Hafeez, S., Rana, A. M., Chaudhry, R. M., Khan, M. A., Ahmad, H. M., & Rehman, K. U. (2011). Perspectives of entrepreneurial orientation with the quality of life. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies, 3(6)*, pp. 381-387.

Haq, A., Bilal, M., & Qureshi, S. A. (2020). Moderating effect of corruption in relationship of cash holding and corporate governance with firm's performance: Evidence from Pakistan. Journal of Managerial Sciences, 14(3), 14-26.

Imran, M., Ahmad, N., Al-Harthy, A. A. Q., & Jat, Z. G. (2023). Early Identification and Intervention: Amplifying the Voice of Slow Learners. AITU Scientific Research Journal, Volume. 1, Issue. 4,

International Energy Agency. (2021). The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions. https://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions

International Energy Agency. (2022). The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions. OECD Publishing. https://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions

International Energy Agency. (2023). World energy outlook 2023. https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2023

IPCC. (2021). Climate change 2021: The physical science basis. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/

IRENA. (2023). Geopolitics of the energy transition. https://www.irena.org/publications/2023/Jul/Geopolitics-of-the-Energy-Transition

Janjua, Z. A., Shah, S. M. A., Khan, R., & Rashid, A. (2025). Ethical Leadership Strategies for Integrating AI Cloud Services in HRM. *Center for Management Science Research*, *3*(1), 274-292.

Kayani, J. A., Faisal, F., Khan, S., & Anjum, T. (2023). Analysing Consumer's Intention to Buy Bottled Drinking Water in Pakistan Through Integrated Marketing Communication Framework. *Journal of Business and Management Research*, *2*(2), 881-902.

Kayani, Z. K., Mehmood, R., ul Haq, A., Kayani, J. A., & Rashid, A. (2021). Awareness and Adoption of Blockchain: Customer's Intention to Use Bitcoin In Pakistan. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *18*(08), 4858-4880.

Keohane, R. O., & Victor, D. G. (2016). Cooperation and discord in global climate policy. Nature Climate Change, 6(6), 570-575. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2937

Khan, K. N., Sarmad, M., Ahmad, S. I., & Ahmad, I. (2021). EXPLORING MEDIATING AND MODERATING MECHANISM FOR PROJECT UNCERTAINTY

UNDER AGILE METHODOLOGY USE IN IT SECTOR. *Ilkogretim Online*, *20*(1).
Khan, S., İftikhan, K., Farooq, S., & Mehmood, R. (2021). The impact of greenwash on consumer green purchase behavior: moderating role of green brand loyalty. *PJAEE*, *18*(10), 869-880.
Lewis, J. I. (2023). China's climate paradox: Leader or laggard? Brookings Institution Press. https://www.brookings.edu/books/chinas-climate-paradox/
Mearsheimer, J. J. (2014). The tragedy of great power politics (Updated ed.). W.W. Norton & Company.
Meckling, J., & Nahm, J. (2022). The politics of technology bans: Industrial policy competition and green goals in the global economy. International Organization, 76(3), 589-620. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818322000081
Muhammad, S., Anjum, T., & Fahad Khan Afridi, D. I. I. A. (2020). Impact of Transformational Leadership on Project Success Criteria: The Moderating Role of Work Engagement. *Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *17*(6), 7460-7476.
Nepstad, D., McGrath, D., & Stickler, C. (2021). Brazil's Amazon paradox: Renewable energy leader with rising deforestation. Nature Sustainability, 4(7), 601-608.
Parker, C. F., & Karlsson, C. (2018). The UN climate change negotiations and the role of the United States: Assessing American leadership from Copenhagen to Paris. Environmental Politics, 27(3), 519-540. https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1442388
Rajamani, L. (2021). The evolution of international environmental law. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198845337.001.0001
Rana, A. M., Bilal, M., Ajmal, M. M., Zaheer, M. A., & Zia-ur-Rehman, M. (2021). A design of turnover intention antecedents and their relation on work design for firms. *Amazonia Investiga*, *10*(37), 24-33.
Rehan, F., Zaidi, S. S., Imran, M., Akhtar, S., Shah, A., & Hameed, S. (2024). Exploring the Efficacy of Music-Based Pedagogies in Developing Communication Skills: Perspectives of Early Childhood Educators. *Al-Qanṭara, 10(2),* 79-98.
Shah, S. M. A., Qamar, M. R., Ahmed, S., & Imran, M. (2025). Nepotism and Favoritism in HR Practices: Implications for Organizational Politics in Pakistan. *Journal of Management & Social Science*, *2*(1), 177-194.
Shah, S. M. A., Raja, S. S., Shahzadi, S., & Naseer, S. (2024). Talent Management Strategies Effect The Approach Of Social Entrepreneurs And Organisational Effectiveness: A Case Study Of Pakistan. *Journal of Business and Management Research*, *3*(3), 58-84.
Stavins, R. N. (2021). The future of US climate change policy. American Economic Review, 111(5), 363-367. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.111.5.363
Szulecki, K. (2023). Energy transition in Central and Eastern Europe: Between path dependence and green aspirations. Energy Research & Social Science, 96, 102921.
Tørstad, V., Sælen, H., & Bøyum, L. S. (2020). The Norwegian oil fund's transition strategy: A model for resource-rich economies? Global Environmental Politics, 20(3), 82-101.https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_a_00563.
UNFCCC. (2015). Paris Agreement. https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement
Van de Graaf, T. (2020). Is OPEC dead? Oil exporters, the Paris Agreement, and the transition to a post-carbon world. Energy Research & Social Science, 64, 101443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101443
Victor, D. G. (2011). Global Warming Gridlock. Cambridge University

Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511975714
World Meteorological Organization. (2022). State of the global climate 2022. https://public.wmo.int/en/our-mandate/climate/wmo-statement-state-of-global-climate
Yasmin, F., Shaheen, R., Yasin, A., & Naseer, S. (2020). An analysis of causal relationship between economic growth and unemployment: Evidence from Pakistan. *Annals of Social Sciences and Perspective*, *1*(1), 09-17.
Yergin, D. (2020). The new map: Energy, climate, and the clash of nations. Penguin Press.
Yousaf, I., Hanif, H., Ali, S., & Moudud-Ul-Huq, S. (2021). Linkages between gold and Latin American equity markets: portfolio implications. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, *26*(52), 237-251.