JOURNAL OF POLITICAL STABILITY ARCHIVE

Online ISSN: 3006-5879 Print ISSN: 3006-5860
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63468/jpsa.3.2.29
Vol. 3 No. 2 (2025)
https://journalpsa.com.pk/index.php/JPSA/about

Recognized by: Higher Education Commission (HEC), Government of Pakistan

Exploring Global Trends in E-Participation, Energy Systems, and Sustainable Technologies

Mushtaq Ur Rehman *
PhD Scholar, Department of Management Sciences, Alhamd Islamic University, Islamabad
mushionline@gmail.com

Dr. Noheed Khan
Associate Professor, Department of Management Sciences, Alhamd Islamic University, Islamabad
dr.noheed@aiu.edu.pk

* Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Balancing economic growth with environmental sustainability is a challenging task that nations face in this era of rapid technical advancements and globalization. The adoption of renewable energy sources, digital governance, and international trade all need to work together in this complex environment. The larger systems of global energy and sustainable technology are the focus of this research, which also looks at the relationship between e-participation and trade globalization. The research examines how countries manage this fine line using six important variables: Trade Globalization Index, Trade Digitization, Carbon Emissions, Trade E-Participation Index, Export Enhancement, and Import Substitution. The research aims to shed light on sustainable commerce tactics for the modern digital era.

Keywords: E-Participation, Energy Systems, Sustainable Technologies

INTRODUCTION

With globalization and the revolution of technology at increasing milestones, countries have to make a sustainable compromise between economic growth and environmental protection. Thus, this space is inherently multidimensional, and the combination of digital governance, renewable energy, and global trade require an analysis of how countries play this precarious balance. This study investigates trade globalization and e-participation, and the systems of international energy and sustainable technology, by means of six selected variables: Trade Globalization Index,

Trade Digitization, Carbon Emissions, Trade E-Participation Index, Export Enhancement, Import Substitution.

Rationale of the Study

This study presents the rationale which is seeking to understand how digital transformation and globalization affects environmental sustainability and trade efficiency. With increasing globalization, if the environmental cost of global trade is increasing, do digital tools enable governance, and if import substitution needs to be traded off with export promotion. While the previous studies have handled these aspects in isolation (Gupta and Das, 2022; Abbasi and Hyder, 2024), this paper combines the analysis of these critical variables to understand the environment context of the problem. Its contribution lies in assessing the implications under confluence of technological progress, trade liberalization, and citizen e-participation for global economic and ecological outcomes.

Objectives of the Study

This research aims to:

1. Analyze the relationship between trade globalization and carbon emissions to understand the environmental costs of global economic integration.
2. Examine how trade digitization improves trade efficiency and impacts environmental sustainability.
3. Assess the role of the E-Participation Index, as defined by the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA), in fostering inclusive, participatory governance in the areas of trade and environmental policy.
4. Evaluate how export enhancement strategies are influenced by digital infrastructure and globalization.
5. Examine the effects of import substitution on the environment and how effective it is in light of growing digitalization and international interconnectedness.
6. Offer policy recommendations for constructing sustainable, inclusive, and resilient economic systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent economic and environmental studies have centered on the point where digital governance, environmental sustainability, and globalization all meet. While globalization of trade helps the economy grow, it is also associated with rising carbon emissions from factories and cars (Abbasi & Hyder, 2024). According to a theoretical explanation provided by the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC), pollution tends to increase during the early phases of economic expansion before decreasing as income levels encourage the adoption of cleaner policies and technology. The environmental cost of commerce is still a major problem, though, given the speed at which globalization is happening.

One potential way to reduce these environmental expenses is through digital transformation. According to Chlela (2024), trade activities can be greatly streamlined by implementing technologies like blockchain and electronic data interchange, which lower transaction costs and the need for carbon-intensive practices. Trade digitization

reduces paperwork, expedites customs procedures, and increases transparency—all of which help to reduce emissions (Adnan, Ghazali, & Othman, 2022). This implies that digital infrastructure supports green trade practices in addition to facilitating trade efficiency.

By using digital platforms to involve citizens in policy-making, e-participation—as gauged by the United Nations E-Participation Index—further improves sustainability. The importance of e-participation in boosting accountability, transparency, and public involvement in environmental governance is highlighted by Gupta and Das (2022). Lember, Randma-Liiv, and Vooglaid (2022) assert that digital civic engagement tools enhance the caliber of policy choices, especially when it comes to trade reform and environmental regulation. According to Giakoumakis and Sidiras (2025), nations with more robust e-participation frameworks are better able to implement sustainable policies and produce results with reduced emissions.

Sustainability results are influenced by economic tactics like import substitution and export augmentation in addition to trade openness and digital governance. Through improved logistics and cleaner technologies, export enhancement with the aid of digital tools can boost economic competitiveness and reduce carbon footprints (Mufti & Ali, 2024). Import substitution, on the other hand, seeks to increase domestic self-reliance, although the sustainability of local enterprises is crucial to its environmental efficacy (Palazzo, 2024). Although there are many sectoral insights in the existing literature, there aren't many comprehensive models that incorporate these various elements. By examining the ways in which globalization, digital transformation, and participatory governance interact to influence trade and environmental policy outcomes, this study fills this knowledge vacuum.

DATA SELECTION AND METHODOLOGY

The study used panel data across 38 countries between 2015 and 2023. The list of 38 countries included in cross-national studies on trade, digital governance, and environmental sustainability. These are typically a mix of OECD and high-data-availability non-OECD nations, providing geographic and economic diversity:

List of Selected Countries (2015–2023 Panel)

Sr.No	Countries	Sr.No	Countries	Sr.No	Countries	Sr.No	Countries
1	United States	11	Denmark	21	China	31	South Africa
2	Canada	12	Finland	22	India	32	Egypt
3	United Kingdom	13	Belgium	23	Indonesia	33	Turkey
4	Germany	14	Austria	24	Malaysia	34	Poland
5	France	15	Switzerland	25	Thailand	35	Czech Republic
6	Italy	16	Australia	26	Vietnam	36	Hungary

7	Spain	**17**	New Zealand	**27**	Brazil	**37**	Saudi Arabia
8	Netherlands	**18**	Japan	**28**	Mexico	**38**	United Arab Emirates
9	Sweden	**19**	South Korea	**29**	Chile		
10	Norway	**20**	Singapore	**30**	Argentina		

These countries were selected based on:

i. Availability of consistent panel data from 2015 to 2023,
ii. Active participation in international trade,
iii. Varying levels of digital governance and environmental performance.

Data sources include:

i. **Trade Globalization Index**: Derived from the KOF Swiss Economic Institute, which provides metrics on trade openness, tariff levels, and trade flows.
ii. **Trade Digitization**: Measured using the World Bank's Digital Trade Facilitation Indicators and adoption of technologies such as blockchain, digital customs systems, and e-commerce platforms (Chlela, 2024).
iii. **Carbon Emissions**: Data sourced from the Global Carbon Project and World Bank's CO2 emissions indicators.
iv. **E-Participation Index**: Taken from the United Nations E-Government Survey, which includes e-information, e-consultation, and e-decision-making (Gupta & Das, 2022).
v. **Export Enhancement**: Assessed through policy documents, export growth figures, and trade promotion initiatives (Mufti & Ali, 2024).
vi. **Import Substitution**: Evaluated through trade balance statistics and data on domestic industrial output compared to imports (Palazzo, 2024).

Variable	Description	Type	Source
Trade Globalization Index (TGI)	Composite index measuring trade flows, tariffs, and openness.	Continuous	KOF Swiss Economic Institute
Trade Digitization (TD)	Index capturing the adoption of digital trade tools (e.g., blockchain, e-docs).	Continuous	World Bank – Digital Trade Facilitation
Carbon Emissions (CE)	Annual CO_2 emissions per capita (metric tons).	Continuous	Global Carbon Project, World Bank
E-Participation Index (EPI)	UNDESA score of e-government transparency, consultation, and decision-making.	Continuous	UN E-Government Survey
Export Enhancement (EE)	Policy-driven indicators of export promotion, volume, and diversification.	Constructed Score	WTO reports, national policy data, SSRN

Import Substitution (IS)	Index reflecting domestic production versus import reliance.	Constructed Score	World Bank, UNCTAD, trade balance data
Country Fixed Effects	Country-specific effects to control for unobservable heterogeneity.	Categorical	Internal to panel model
Time Fixed Effects	Year dummies to control for macroeconomic shocks.	Categorical	Internal to panel model

The study applied correlation and regression analysis to determine the statistical significance of relationships among the variables, with a qualitative overlay from policy and literature reviews.

The study used, correlation analysis to explore the linear relationships among key variables, such as Trade Globalization Index, Trade Digitization, Carbon Emissions, E-Participation Index, Export Enhancement, and Import Substitution. This analysis provides a foundational understanding of how these factors interact with one another. For example, a positive correlation between trade globalization and carbon emissions signals a potential environmental cost associated with increasing trade flows. Similarly, a negative correlation between trade digitization and emissions suggests that digital trade infrastructure may contribute to environmental efficiency. These associations help identify which relationships warrant deeper, causally oriented analysis.

To further investigate these relationships, regression analysis, is applied to quantify the impact of independent variables on carbon emissions while controlling for unobserved heterogeneity across countries and over time. With this technique, we may isolate the overall impact of each explanatory variable, such as the relative contribution of e-participation and trade digitalization to the reduction of carbon emissions, while controlling for all other factors.

The multi-faceted character of the research is well-suited to the entire statistical toolbox that is provided by correlation and regression analyses when used together. Correlation offers initial insights into associations among variables, while regression delivers a rigorous framework for testing causal hypotheses and policy effectiveness. This combined approach supports the study's aim to understand how trade policies, digital transformation, and participatory governance interact to shape sustainable development outcomes across diverse economies.

Conceptual Framework and Key Constructs

Trade Globalization Index

The Trade Globalization Index measures the involvement of a country in world economy through trade in goods, services, and capital. Not only does it measure the trade volumes but also trade-enabling infrastructure and policies (Abbasi & Hyder, 2024). Increased global trade opening up access to technology and markets may be accommodated, but-environmental tradeoffs include increased industrial activity and transport, a larger package of increased application of technology and enhanced market access.

Trade Digitization

It is the application of technology in trade processes involving documentation, customs and logistics, payments, etc. called trade digitization. Trade transparency and efficiency gains have occurred by leveraging innovations like blockchain, computerized customs clearance, and electronic data interchange (Chlela, 2024). Additionally, digitization decreases the reliance on paper based systems and consequently cost of transaction (Adnan, Ghazali, & Othman, 2022).

Carbon Emissions

A critical environmental impact measure related to trade and industrial activity is carbon emissions. Emissions can also rise from higher trade in manufacturing and transport heavy industries. To determine if economic development is consistent with environmental aims or, rather, enhances climate risk, it is important to understand emissions patterns (Adnan et al., 2022).

E-Participation Index

The United Nations' E-Participation Index measures 'the capacity of governments to utilise digital tools to engage citizens in policy making'. It comprises three dimensions:

i. E-information: Dissemination of information via ICT.
ii. E-consultation: Mechanisms for public feedback.
iii. E-decision-making: Citizen involvement in policy decisions.

Countries with high eParticipation promote more transparent, more accountable, and more empowered citizen involvement on trade and environmental governance issues (Lember, Randma-Liiv, Vooglaid, 2022). One of the research questions investigated in this study is the contribution that the e-participation can provide to the effectiveness of carbon regulation and supporting sustainable trade practices (Giakoumakis & Sidiras, 2025).

Export Enhancement

Policies to increase the quantity and quality of a country's exports are among the export enhancement strategies. This is what constitutes capacity building, marketing support, trade agreements, and product standards improvement (Mufti & Ali, 2024). With the help of digital trade tools, such strategies can yield higher revenue, as well as shrank carbon footprints by using smarter logistics and green technology adoption.

Import Substitution

However, import substitution is about creating home industries in order to reduce dependency on foreign products. This strategy, although protectionist by its nature, can enhance local resilience and reduce vulnerabilities to global disruptions such as pandemics or geopolitical crises (Palazzo, 2024). But such policies may lack digital and infrastructure support that will cover domestic demand and meet sustainability goals.

Contribution and Significance

This study used six variables to comprehensively analyze the relationships among them to give a future's view of the global trade and environmental policy in the digital age. Overly, it examines export-led growth versus self-sufficiency

approached under technological and environmental constraints however in a normative way. Other nations that do better at trading digitization and e participation may also better choose their emissions through smart policy implementation and inclusive policy decision-making (Giakoumakis & Sidiras, 2025).

However, if countries are to pursue import substitution, they may run into problems if they don't have the appropriate digital ecosystem or public support to make domestic production viable. For this reason, it is equally important to appreciate how digital governance can serve as a mediator between trade and environmental objectives, not to mention to craft the policies that allow for long term sustainability.

The goal of this research is to fill a critical gap in the analysis of the global trading pattern, integrating environmental, technological and economic factors. It offers a forward looking view of sustainable development based on the combination of trade globalization, digital governance, carbon emissions and export enhancement and import substitution economic strategies. The findings will be of great importance to policymakers, environmental analysts and trade economists in framing future ready and environmentally friendly economic development.

Conceptual Framework

The theoretical foundation rests on:

Global Value Chain (GVC) Theory: Posits that integration into global trade networks exposes countries to both economic growth and environmental vulnerabilities.

Institutional Theory: Suggests that well-developed digital institutions, including e-participation, promote accountability and sustainable policy choices.

Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC): Proposes that pollution increases with income at early stages but declines as countries develop and adopt cleaner technologies and governance practices.

Descriptive Statistics

The table below summarizes the characteristics of the six core variables:

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Trade Globalization	72.8	10.5	55.3	91.2
Trade Digitization	0.68	0.14	0.42	0.91
Carbon Emissions (t)	5.74	2.03	1.9	11.5
E-Participation Index	0.71	0.13	0.41	0.92
Export Enhancement	3.42	0.93	1.9	5.1
Import Substitution	2.98	1.08	1.2	5.3

The data reflect high variability in both policy and technological infrastructure across nations.

Regression Results

Model: Fixed Effects (selected via Hausman test)
Dependent Variable: Carbon Emissions (metric tons per capita)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-stat	p-value
Trade Globalization	0.201	0.032	6.28	0
Trade Digitization	-0.165	0.041	-4.02	0.001
E-Participation	-0.143	0.036	-3.97	0.001
Export Enhancement	-0.087	0.029	-3	0.003
Import Substitution	0.041	0.025	1.64	0.104

Interpretation of Results

i. Trade Globalization is positively and significantly associated with higher emissions, underscoring the environmental costs of global economic integration.
ii. Trade Digitization demonstrates a significant negative relationship with emissions, indicating that digital technologies in trade help lower environmental burdens through increased efficiency.
iii. E-Participation negatively correlates with emissions and acts as a significant moderating factor. Countries with robust e-governance tend to adopt more effective environmental policies.
iv. Export Enhancement significantly reduces emissions, particularly when strategies are digitally driven and environmentally conscious.
v. Import Substitution has an insignificant positive effect on emissions, suggesting limited environmental benefit unless paired with sustainability-oriented infrastructure.

Correlation Analysis

The Pearson correlation matrix below highlights the relationships among the six key variables in the study. It provides an overview of the strength and direction of the linear relationships.

Variables	TGI	TD	CE	EPI	EE	IS
Trade Globalization (TGI)	1					
Trade Digitization (TD)	-0.31	1				
Carbon Emissions (CE)	0.56	-0.43	1			
E-Participation Index (EPI)	-0.38	0.67	-0.49	1		

Export Enhancement (EE)	0.42	0.58	-0.33	0.41	1	
Import Substitution (IS)	-0.11	-0.17	0.19	-0.28	-0.14	1

Interpretation:

i. A moderate positive correlation (0.56) exists between Trade Globalization and Carbon Emissions, indicating that higher trade levels are associated with increased emissions.
ii. Trade Digitization and E-Participation are negatively correlated with emissions, supporting their roles in sustainable policy.
iii. Export Enhancement has a moderate positive correlation with both Trade Digitization (0.58) and E-Participation (0.41), suggesting digital trade and governance support export growth.
iv. Import Substitution shows weak correlations, aligning with its mixed policy effectiveness.

Policy Recommendations

1. Digital Trade Infrastructure: Governments should invest in customs automation, block chain logistics, and paperless documentation to cut emissions and enhance trade efficiency.
2. Citizen Participation: Expand e-participation platforms to engage the public in environmental and trade policy-making.
3. Green Export Incentives: Provide tax benefits and subsidies to firms meeting green export criteria.
4. Smart Import Substitution: Support local production with green technology and energy efficiency standards.

CONCLUSION

This research reveals that digital transformation and participatory governance are vital in steering trade policy towards sustainability. While globalization alone escalates emissions, the combined force of digitization and e-participation significantly mitigates this impact. Future trade strategies must integrate technological innovation and inclusive governance to align economic growth with environmental goals.

Limitations and Future Research

i. The study is limited to countries with consistent and available panel data; findings may not generalize to developing nations with data gaps.
ii. Additional variables such as energy consumption sources, green financing availability, and environmental regulation strength could provide deeper insights.
iii. Future research should explore causal relationships using advanced techniques like instrumental variables or dynamic panel data models.

REFERENCES

Abbasi, M., & Hyder, M. (2024). E-satisfaction from e-government services after e-participation: A perspective of e-service users (citizens). International Journal of Social Science & Entrepreneurship, 4(3), 248–267.

Adnan, M., Ghazali, M., & Othman, N. Z. S. (2022). E-participation within the context of e-government initiatives: A comprehensive systematic review. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 8, 100015.

Azhar, Z., Iqbal, T., & Imran, M., (2025). The Role of Ethical Leadership in HRM-driven Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). *Journal of Management &Amp; Social Science*, *2*(1), 158–176.

Chlela, S. (2024). Integrated modeling of the global energy system for pathways with carbon dioxide removal. Energies.

Giakoumakis, G., & Sidiras, D. (2025). Production and storage of hydrogen from biomass and other sources: Technologies and policies. Energies, 18(3), 650.

Gupta, M., & Das, A. (2022). Toward e-participation: Measuring and explaining performance. Journal of Global Information Technology Management, 25(4), 266–285.

Haq AU. (2017). Firm Characteristics and Cash-Cash Flow Sensitivity of the Manufacturing Sector of Pakistan. *Business & Economic Review*, *9*(3), 71-103.

Lember, V., Randma-Liiv, T., & Vooglaid, K. M. (2022). Engaging citizens in policy making: The potential and challenges of e-participation. In Engaging citizens in policy making (pp. 1-10). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Mufti, A., & Ali, I. (2024). Export-led growth: Policy framework for sustainable development in Pakistan. Available at SSRN 4890578.

Naseer, M., Haq, A. U., & Shah, S. M. A. (2024). Understanding Turnover Intentions in Pakistan's Healthcare Sector: A Qualitative Exploration of Supervisory Behavior, Stress, and Cultural Norms. *Annual Methodological Archive Research Review*, *2*(5), 1-18.

Palazzo, G. (2024). Real exchange rate and import substitution episodes: Evidence from a developing economy. World Development, 184, 106752.

Rooh, S., Hayat, M., Haq, A. U., & Malik, M. F. (2025). Exploring the dynamics of block chain technology in Islamic finance: a novel investigation of cryptocurrency integration within sharia-compliant financial systems in Pakistan. *Qualitative Research Review Letter*, *3*(1), 497-439.

ul Haq, A., Niazi, G. S. K., & Sahto, Q. (2012). Some new evidence on determinants of foreign direct investment: a case study of Pakistan.

Zaheer, S., Tufail, S., Abbasi, H., & ul Haq, A. (2021). Identifying benefits of self-academic review for Nacte program-level accreditation: a case study of international Islamic university Islamabad. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, *12*(6).